

# Vermont

<http://www.vtlni.info/profile2006.pdf>

# ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC

PROFILE SERIES

# 2006



## Vermont Department of Labor ECONOMIC-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

The Vermont Economic-Demographic Profile is published by the Labor Market Information Section of the Vermont Department of Labor. This publication can be found under the Publications heading on our Labor Market website at [www.vtLmi.info](http://www.vtLmi.info).

### **Address changes:**

Vermont Department of Labor  
Labor Market Information Section  
P.O. Box 488  
Montpelier, VT 05601-0488

or telephone: (802) 828-4202 TDD: (802) 828-4203 email: [LMI@labor.state.vt.us](mailto:LMI@labor.state.vt.us)

---

### **Equal Opportunity is the Law**

The State of Vermont is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. Applications from women, individuals with disabilities, and people from diverse cultural backgrounds are encouraged. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. 711 (TTY/Relay Service) or 802-828-4203 TDD (Vermont Department of Labor).

Interpretative services are available for limited English proficiency customers. For more information please visit: <http://www.dol.gov/oasam/programs/crc/ISpeakCards.pdf>

**VERMONT COUNTY SERIES**

**An Economic-Demographic  
Profile of Vermont**

**2006**

**Vermont Department of Labor  
5 Green Mountain Drive  
P.O. Box 488  
Montpelier, Vermont 05601-0488**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary of County Characteristics .....	1-3
Introduction .....	4
Population .....	5
Economic Trends .....	6
Housing .....	7
Education .....	7
Table 1: Demographic-Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties .....	9
Chart 1 .....	10
Tables 2-15: Demographic-Economic Comparison All Counties .....	11-24
Table 16: Population Changes: 2000-2004 .....	25-28
Table 17: Population Projections: 2000-2020 .....	29
Tables 18-32: Employment by Residence and Industry .....	30-44
Table 33: Agricultural Comparison .....	45
Table 34: Forest Industry Comparison .....	46
Table 35: Tax Revenues of Vermont Counties .....	47
Table 36: Home Sales of Vermont Counties .....	48
Table 37: Education Comparison .....	49-50
Table 38: Education Assessment .....	51-56
Glossary: .....	57

# SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

Characteristics	County	% of State
<b>ADDISON</b>		
Population (2004)	36,865	6%
Employment (2004)	13,878	5%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	29,774	94%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	31,827	96%
Area (Square Miles)	818	9%
<b>BENNINGTON</b>		
Population (2004)	36,956	6%
Employment (2004)	17,848	6%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	33,027	104%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	30,174	91%
Area (Square Miles)	673	7%
<b>CALEDONIA</b>		
Population (2004)	30,464	5%
Employment (2004)	11,542	4%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	26,186	82%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	27,514	83%
Area (Square Miles)	619	6%
<b>CHITTENDEN</b>		
Population (2004)	149,286	24%
Employment (2004)	94,881	32%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	37,204	117%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	38,433	115%
Area (Square Miles)	614	6%
<b>ESSEX</b>		
Population (2004)	6,654	1%
Employment (2004)	1,502	1%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	19,608	62%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	27,824	84%
Area (Square Miles)	671	7%

# SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

Characteristics	County	% of State
-----------------	--------	------------

## FRANKLIN

Population (2004)	47,556	8%
Employment (2004)	15,529	5%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	27,077	85%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	31,442	94%
Area (Square Miles)	704	7%

## GRAND ISLE

Population (2004)	7,643	1%
Employment (2004)	1,164	0%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	30,944	97%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	24,299	73%
Area (Square Miles)	189	2%

## LAMOILLE

Population (2004)	24,418	4%
Employment (2004)	10,633	4%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	30,902	97%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	27,003	81%
Area (Square Miles)	476	5%

## ORANGE

Population (2004)	29,189	5%
Employment (2004)	7,847	3%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	27,017	85%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	27,513	83%
Area (Square Miles)	692	7%

## ORLEANS

Population (2004)	27,372	4%
Employment (2004)	9,850	3%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	25,560	80%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	26,637	80%
Area (Square Miles)	737	8%

# SUMMARY OF COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

Characteristics	County	% of State
-----------------	--------	------------

## RUTLAND

Population (2004)	63,616	10%
Employment (2004)	29,318	10%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	30,638	96%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	31,445	94%
Area (Square Miles)	939	10%

## WASHINGTON

Population (2004)	59,068	10%
Employment (2004)	32,294	11%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	32,768	103%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	33,331	100%
Area (Square Miles)	714	7%

## WINDHAM

Population (2004)	44,284	7%
Employment (2004)	22,978	8%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	31,521	99%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	32,919	99%
Area (Square Miles)	796	8%

## WINDSOR

Population (2004)	58,023	9%
Employment (2004)	23,610	8%
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	32,716	103%
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	31,445	94%
Area (Square Miles)	967	10%

## VERMONT

Population (2004)	621,394	NA
Employment (2004)	298,491	NA
Per Capita Income (\$) (2004)	31,780	NA
Annual Wage (\$) (2004)	33,276	NA
Area (Square Miles)	9,609	NA

## INTRODUCTION

Vermont is a mostly rural state located strategically between the large metropolitan areas of Boston, New York, and Montreal. We attract visitors throughout the year seeking our natural charm. The Vermont economy depends on a diversified mix of Manufacturing, Health Care, Tourism and Public sector related enterprises. Agriculture remains an important component of our economy and cultural image, but its relative impact is declining.

The recession in 2001 caused Vermont's unemployment to rise but it has remained well below the national rate. In 2004, job growth rebounded in the state and the unemployment rate fell eight tenths of a percentage point to 3.7 percent. Between 2004 and 2005, jobs continued to increase, but at a much slower rate (0.7 percent). Unemployment dropped another two-tenths of a percent to 3.5 for the year (2005).

Chittenden County, in the northwestern section, is the foundation for the state's economy and provides nearly one third of the jobs in Vermont. Burlington is the largest city, offering numerous educational and cultural attractions as well as a comprehensive commercial network. Located on the shores of Lake Champlain, the city provides easy access to a wide range of recreational activities. The international airport in South Burlington provides a convenient gateway to distant locations for business or recreation.

Burlington is the core of the state's only Metropolitan Statistical Area, which extends into parts of Franklin, Grand Isle and Addison Counties. These counties are also agricultural in nature, which sometimes causes conflict over land use restrictions.

Southern Vermont has also been influenced by a debate over the trade-off between economic growth and preservation of the rural landscape. Easy access from northeastern urban centers has put pressure on the region for second home development. In addition, resorts along Route 100 have strained to expand and provide self-contained winter recreation facilities. The area along the Connecticut River has close ties to neighboring New Hampshire communities. The northern part of Windsor County benefits from its proximity to Hanover, New Hampshire, which is home to Dartmouth College and the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center.

Bennington County, in the southwestern corner of the state, provides a wide range of recreation and tourist activities and is popular with visitors from adjoining New York State. The county continues to lose manufacturing jobs at a faster rate than the state. However, that rate has dropped since the 2002-2003 time period. NSK Steering Systems and Mack Molding continue to employ significant numbers of people, as does the Southwestern Vermont Medical Center and Orvis.

While Central Vermont is well known because of the capital city, Montpelier, the region also contains a diversified economy with an impressive cultural heritage. Granite quarries provide stone for monuments and construction all over the world. Workers in granite "sheds" pass on a tradition of craftsmanship that turns the huge slabs into individual monuments and works of art. The granite industry is centered in Barre where Rock of Ages Corporation has operated for over 100 years. National Life Insurance Company, with headquarters in Montpelier, has an equally impressive tradition in the insurance and financial services sector.

Lamoille County is known for two main resorts: Stowe and Smuggler's Notch. The strong connection to recreation activities produces a high concentration of service industry jobs. Stowe has embarked on a 10 year expansion project that will add high end condominiums, town houses, restaurants, parking garage, spa, and a new golf course. As a result, higher paying construction jobs are readily available.

The Northeast Kingdom, aptly named by Senator George Aiken, contains some of the most remote areas in the state. Backed up against the Canadian border on a high plateau between the Green and White Mountains, it is one of the last remnants of a rural, undeveloped New England. It has been said that the Kingdom's blend of Technicolor scenery and eccentric characters make it a little



like Oz. The forests provide income for loggers and are a major resource for furniture manufacturing, which is a critical part of the economy. Residents of the three counties report income levels among the lowest in the state, reflected in their high poverty rates.

## POPULATION

Population growth in Vermont remains modest since Census 2000. The statewide growth rate of 2.1% from 2000 to 2004 is still about half of the national growth rate for that time period. Grand Isle County and Lamoille County are the two fastest growing counties in the state. Grand Isle, with a population of 7,490 grew 10%, due in large part by its proximity to Burlington, the state's largest city and employment center. This is true of Franklin County as well, which has an above average growth rate. Lamoille County with a population of 24,418 showed a 5% increase in population for the time period. Over 40% of that county's population live in the towns of Morristown and Stowe.

While the northwestern counties have experienced above average population growth, the opposite is true in the south. Bennington County, in southern Vermont, is the only county to show a loss in population since the 2000 Census. However, Windham, Windsor, and Rutland counties all remain almost static when it comes to population growth (see table 1).

The largest towns and cities are

located in Chittenden County. Burlington is the state's largest city, although its population is down slightly from 2003. Essex Town has the second largest population in the state. Colchester, and South Burlington are also large population centers, while Rutland City remains the fourth largest community in the state.

Bennington, while still one of Vermont's largest communities, continues to decline in population. In Central Vermont, Barre City and Montpelier have lost population since 2000, but many towns in Washington County have grown, including Barre Town, Berlin, East Montpelier, and Middlesex. In Lamoille County, Stowe, Morristown, Wolcott, and Hyde Park show the most growth during that time period.

Orleans, Essex, and Caledonia counties, which comprise the Northeast Kingdom, are all growing at faster rates than the state's. The region remains sparsely populated, with the largest towns being St. Johnsbury, Lyndon, and Newport City. Essex County is the least populated with 6,654 residents. Many of the towns in this county are very small; Warren Gore, Granby and Ferdinand to name a few. (see Table 6)

Vermont's minority population remains small, but it is growing faster than the state's average. Much of the minority population is located in Chittenden County, with Asian and Pacific Islander representing the largest ethnic group, followed by Blacks. Both

Franklin and Chittenden counties have a significant number of aboriginal peoples.

Probably the most dramatic population statistics involve the aging of Vermont. Soon, the state will be the oldest in the nation, according to population projections. About 40 percent of Vermonters were younger than 34 years old in 2004, compared to a national average of almost 50 percent. More Vermonters are over 65 than the national average. The aging of our state, combined with an out migration of young people will continue to challenge our workforce and our healthcare systems. Chittenden County has the lowest percentage of people over the age of 55, while almost a third of the populations of Bennington and Windsor counties are 55 or older (see table 16). On the other end of the spectrum, Franklin County has the highest concentration (just over 6 percent) of children 4 years or under, however that percentage dropped since 2003. Their percentage of school age children (5-19 year olds) also dropped, but is still the largest in Vermont at almost 22 percent.

Population projections (see table 17) show a continued slow growth rate for the state, at roughly half that of the nation. Grand Isle, Franklin, and Lamoille counties are projected to remain the fastest growing counties. As Grand Isle and Lamoille counties' growth rate diminishes slightly by the year 2020, Franklin County's growth remains strong. Their current youthful numbers support this

projections. Population growth in Chittenden County is projected to slow down, and the southern counties will remain the slowest growing in the state.

## ECONOMIC TRENDS

The number of jobs in Vermont grew in 2004 for the first time in three years. The 1.4 percent increase represented a gain of 4,203 jobs, raising the total average employment to 298,491. The rate slowed to 0.7% for 2005.

The goods producing sectors in private industry showed an overall increase in jobs, led by construction at 8.4%. However, both durable and non-durable goods manufacturing continued to show declines. Over 2500 jobs were added in the service-providing sector. Services represent more than 60 percent of all the jobs in Vermont. Almost half of those new jobs were in health care and social assistance. Compared to 2000 employment figures, five counties have shown losses, with Essex County's employment dropping by over 16 percent during that time period. Bennington and Windham both had significant job loss; Bennington's employment dropped by over 1,200 and Windham's by just over 1,000. (See table 1)

Since 2003 job loss has slowed, with Bennington's employment dropping by 0.7 percent in 2004 and Windham's actually going up by 0.4 percent. The weak manufacturing sector continued to affect both counties. Bennington County showed a significant loss

of jobs in government in 2004, with a decrease of almost 10 percent.

Eight of Vermont's 14 counties showed job growth since 2000, with Grand Isle growing the fastest at almost 17 percent. Because of the size of Grand Isle's employment base, however this only represents 169 jobs. Franklin County added the most jobs to its economy, followed by Orleans. Vermont's employment is concentrated in three counties: Chittenden, Washington, and Rutland. Combined, these three counties account for more than 50 percent of total employment in Vermont. Chittenden County continues to dominate the state's economy. In Chittenden County layoffs continue in manufacturing, while retail, health care, and accommodation and food services have all added jobs. Washington County showed a loss of jobs in finance and insurance, and gains in healthcare and construction, with a slight increase in non-durable manufacturing jobs.

Rutland County's employment grew at a faster rate than Chittenden and Washington and added over 700 jobs. Manufacturing there grew slightly, but transportation and warehousing grew at a whopping 31.5 percent rate from 2003, adding 179 jobs to the county's economy.

2005 unemployment rates varied across the state's counties. Orleans County, which also has the highest percentage of people living below poverty level, had the highest unemployment rate of 5

percent. Windsor and Chittenden consistently have the lowest unemployment rates. Although unemployment rates across the state have generally decreased since 2004, Grand Isle shows an increase from 4.7 to 4.9, in spite of its relatively high percentage of job growth.

The average wage in Vermont increased by 3.7 percent in 2004, slightly more than the 2003 increase. Wages continue to be higher in Chittenden County where annual wage was 15 percent higher than the state's average of \$33,276. Wages ranged from a high of \$38,433 in Chittenden County to a low of \$24,299 in Grand Isle. Washington County's average wage rose above the state's 2004. Average wage is influenced by many factors, such as the mix of industries and occupations in an area. Part-time and seasonal jobs generally produce a lower annual average, although they may pay a competitive hourly wage.

In the year 2000, Vermont ranked 28<sup>th</sup> in the nation for Per Capita Income (PCI). Since then, the state has moved up to 22<sup>nd</sup>, with a PCI of \$31,780 compared to the nation's \$33,050. The District of Columbia has the highest Per Capita Income (\$51,155) and regionally, New England leads the nation (\$40,260). Per Capita Income is total personal income divided by total resident population. It is a broader measure of financial well being than wages because it includes various non-wage items, such as dividends, rents, and government transfers

payments. Income is based on the resident population, while the average wage is reported on the basis of the location of the employer paying the wages.

Income by town is available based on Vermont Department of Taxes information (see tables 2-15).

Data is based on income tax returns and it is influenced by the mix of single and multiple income households. Sixteen towns in six counties had median adjusted income of over \$40,000 in 2004. Seven of those towns are in Chittenden County, where both Underhill and Essex had median adjusted incomes of over \$50,000.

The combination of large population and relatively high-income levels creates a substantial tax liability for Chittenden County.

Just over a third of the state's personal income taxes come from residents of Chittenden County. The sales and use tax was almost as high, and a quarter of the rooms and meals taxes for the state come from the county (see table 34).

Although Vermont feels some pressure from development, particularly in the northwestern part of the state, it has maintained its rural nature and a substantial agricultural base. Latest county statistics are from the 2002 Census of Agriculture (see table 32). Addison and Franklin Counties have the most farm acreage and represent about half of the state value of products sold. Dairy farming dominates the

agriculture of Vermont and contributes to its non-durable goods manufacturing sector.

Forestry continues to play a role in the economy of each of the 14 counties. The 2004 softwood harvest increased from 2003, while the hardwood harvest decreased slightly. While Windsor County leads the state in hardwoods, Caledonia harvests the most softwood (see table 33).

## HOUSING

Although the number of vacation homes sold between 2000 and 2004 declined, the median price of these units soared. Statewide, the median price of a vacation home increased by almost 78 percent; Chittenden and Lamoille Counties showed increases of well over 150 percent. In Lamoille County the median price of a second home rose from \$122,750 in the year 2000, to \$348,000 in 2005, an increase of 183 percent. The rapid growth surrounding the ski community of Stowe has had a significant effect on these figures.

Year round housing prices also rose dramatically with the statewide increase being 55 percent. The median price of a year-round home was \$119,000 in 2000 and \$185,000 in 2005. This includes all types of single-family dwellings, including mobile homes. The highest prices were found in Chittenden County where the median price of a house was \$230,00, however the fastest growing prices were found in Washington County, where the

median price of a year-round dwelling rose by almost 73 percent. Addison, Grand Isle, Lamoille, Orange, and Windham all showed prices increasing by over 60 percent.

See <http://www.housingdata.org> for detailed housing data for Vermont.

Local property taxes fund municipal expenses, road maintenance, and local education. A statewide property tax is used to supplement the local property tax for education. For the purposes of education funding, all real property is classified as either homestead or nonresidential. A statewide education tax is imposed on these two classes of property at different rates. The homestead education tax rate in each municipality depends upon the local per pupil spending. Both the homestead and nonresidential education tax rates are adjusted by the local common level of appraisal of the property. Tables 2-15 show the latest homestead tax rates for towns in Vermont. An explanation of how the school tax rates are set is available at: <http://www.state.vt.us/tax/pvrfaq.shtml>

## EDUCATION

Vermont school enrollment has been declining since 1997. 1995 statistics show an enrollment of 98,361 which dropped to 96,636 for FY06. Since 1997, public school enrollment has declined by 9.1 percent. See table 36 for county information about Vermont's high school population. Drop out rates vary widely between

schools, from a 0.0 percent rate for St Johnsburry Academy to a 9.7 percent rate for Winooski. The state average for 3 years is 2.8 percent. The National Governors' Association is working to standardize the way drop out rates are measured because currently there is a great discrepancy. Both national and statewide drop out rates are most likely understated and will be much higher when consistency in measurement is achieved. The Center for Rural Studies, in cooperation with the Vermont Department of Education, provides a variety of valuable information, including performance measures at <http://crs.uvm.edu/schlprt/>. Information is available for all public schools by town.

Eighth grade Vermont students performed well in one national measure of competency in science. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses

science in two major dimensions.

The average score for eighth-grade Vermonters was 162 compared to the national average of 147. For more information about national assessments go to: <http://www.nces.gov>.

Table 37 lists the public high schools by county and shows their level of achievement for math, reading and writing. The only level that appears problematic for Vermont schools is "Math Problem Solving." Compared to FY 2004 data, scores in that area have not improved and in several cases have gotten lower. Student performance varies from year to year and is only one measure of the effectiveness of the educational system.

Higher education is well represented in Vermont; in fact the state has more colleges per capita than any other. The University of

Vermont is located in Burlington, and includes a teaching hospital for students pursuing careers in medicine. The Vermont State College system, which includes Castleton State College, Community College of Vermont, Johnson State College, Lyndon State College, and Vermont Technical College, offers a wide variety of post-secondary educational opportunities. Other institutions include Middlebury College, Bennington College, Norwich University, the College of St. Joseph, St. Michael's College, Landmark College and others. The Vermont Student Assistance Corporation (VSAC) is a public nonprofit agency that provides information about higher education and assists with financial aid for students. Their website also includes assessments, information about careers, and links to state and national sources.

Visit VSAC at <http://www.vsac.org/>



**Table 1**

**Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties**

	Pop. 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% of State	Labor Force 2005 <sup>2</sup>	Covered Emplmnt 2004 <sup>3</sup>	Unemp Rate 2005 <sup>2</sup>	Avg Yrly Wage 2004 <sup>3</sup>	Per Cap Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	% Below Poverty Level 2003 <sup>5</sup>
Vermont	621,394	100.0%	355,900	298,491	3.5%	\$33,276	\$31,780	9.2%
Addison	36,865	5.9%	21,550	13,878	3.3%	\$31,827	\$29,774	8.7%
Bennington	36,956	5.9%	20,150	17,848	3.6%	\$30,174	\$33,027	10.0%
Caledonia	30,464	4.9%	16,800	11,542	3.8%	\$27,514	\$26,186	11.3%
Chittenden	149,286	24.0%	88,750	94,881	3.1%	\$38,433	\$37,204	7.6%
Essex	6,654	1.1%	3,350	1,502	3.7%	\$27,824	\$19,608	12.3%
Franklin	47,556	7.7%	26,250	15,529	3.8%	\$31,442	\$27,077	9.5%
Grand Isle	7,643	1.2%	4,300	1,164	4.9%	\$24,299	\$30,944	7.2%
Lamoille	24,418	3.9%	14,950	10,633	3.8%	\$27,003	\$30,902	8.8%
Orange	29,189	4.7%	16,550	7,847	3.2%	\$27,513	\$27,017	9.2%
Orleans	27,372	4.4%	14,450	9,850	5.0%	\$26,637	\$25,560	13.2%
Rutland	63,616	10.2%	36,250	29,318	3.6%	\$31,445	\$30,638	10.3%
Washington	59,068	9.5%	34,700	32,294	3.6%	\$33,331	\$32,768	8.4%
Windham	44,284	7.1%	25,050	22,978	3.4%	\$32,919	\$31,521	9.7%
Windsor	58,023	9.3%	32,900	23,610	2.9%	\$31,445	\$32,716	8.7%

**Change in Key Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties**





	Population			Covered Employment			Per Capita Income		
	2000 <sup>5</sup>	Chng 00-04	% Chng 00-04	2000 <sup>3</sup>	Chng 00-04	% Chng 00-04	2000 <sup>4</sup>	Chng 00-04	% Chng 00-04
Vermont	608,827	12,567	2.1%	296,468	2,023	0.7%	\$27,680	\$ 4,100	14.8%
Addison	35,974	891	2.5%	13,730	148	1.1%	\$25,541	\$ 4,233	16.6%
Bennington	36,994	(38)	-0.1%	19,112	-1,263	-6.6%	\$29,187	\$ 3,840	13.2%
Caledonia	29,702	762	2.6%	11,578	-35	-0.3%	\$23,313	\$ 2,873	12.3%
Chittenden	146,571	2,715	1.9%	95,354	-474	-0.5%	\$32,243	\$ 4,961	15.4%
Essex	6,459	195	3.0%	1,795	-293	-16.3%	\$18,792	\$ 816	4.3%
Franklin	45,417	2,139	4.7%	14,852	677	4.6%	\$23,931	\$ 3,146	13.1%
Grand Isle	6,901	742	10.8%	996	169	16.9%	\$28,299	\$ 2,645	9.3%
Lamoille	23,233	1,185	5.1%	10,602	31	0.3%	\$25,829	\$ 5,073	19.6%
Orange	28,226	963	3.4%	7,847	0	0.0%	\$23,438	\$ 3,579	15.3%
Orleans	26,277	1,095	4.2%	9,422	429	4.5%	\$21,990	\$ 3,570	16.2%
Rutland	63,400	216	0.3%	28,930	388	1.3%	\$25,989	\$ 4,649	17.9%
Washington	58,039	1,029	1.8%	32,079	215	0.7%	\$28,393	\$ 4,375	15.4%
Windham	44,216	68	0.2%	24,067	-1,089	-4.5%	\$27,933	\$ 3,588	12.8%
Windsor	57,418	605	1.1%	23,515	95	0.4%	\$28,944	\$ 3,772	13.0%

**Sources**

- <sup>1</sup> Vermont Department of Health
- <sup>2</sup> Vermont Department of Labor, Populations Claims Method, Labor Force Estimates, Benchmark, March 2000. Data not comparable prior to 1990.
- <sup>3</sup> Vermont Department of Labor, UI Covered Employment and Wages data.
- <sup>4</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis
- <sup>5</sup> U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates Program

Chart 1

Demographic - Economic Comparison of Vermont Counties



**Table 2**

# Addison County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Addison County	36,865	100.0%	13,878	\$ 31,827	NA	NA
Addison	1,447	3.9%	221	\$ 24,273	\$ 28,411	\$ 1.28
Bridport	1,268	3.4%	178	\$ 25,203	\$ 27,144	\$ 1.50
Bristol	3,804	10.3%	1,321	\$ 25,318	\$ 27,723	\$ 1.41
Cornwall	1,206	3.3%	121	\$ 35,864	\$ 42,086	\$ 1.57
Ferrisburgh	2,711	7.4%	432	\$ 26,498	\$ 34,631	\$ 1.23
Goshen	226	0.6%	18	\$ 14,855	\$ 33,413	\$ 1.10
Granville	298	0.8%	75	\$ 18,888	\$ 20,816	\$ 1.46
Hancock	377	1.0%	124	\$ 18,369	\$ 23,693	\$ 1.19
Leicester	1,028	2.8%	77	\$ 25,236	\$ 26,353	\$ 1.28
Lincoln	1,254	3.4%	113	\$ 21,731	\$ 32,381	\$ 1.35
Middlebury	8,172	22.2%	7,368	\$ 33,804	\$ 26,854	\$ 1.58
Monkton	1,927	5.2%	127	\$ 23,100	\$ 45,311	\$ 1.36
New Haven	1,783	4.8%	593	\$ 24,441	\$ 34,169	\$ 1.37
Orwell	1,230	3.3%	195	\$ 24,082	\$ 29,481	\$ 1.19
Panton	698	1.9%	34	\$ 20,370	\$ 36,678	\$ 1.31
Ripton	576	1.6%	57	\$ 19,328	\$ 30,823	\$ 1.60
Salisbury	1,111	3.0%	235	\$ 21,065	\$ 32,252	\$ 1.37
Shoreham	1,299	3.5%	189	\$ 26,213	\$ 29,325	\$ 1.73
Starksboro	1,929	5.2%	150	\$ 27,827	\$ 32,144	\$ 1.40
Vergennes City	2,783	7.5%	2,084	\$ 39,546	\$ 26,649	\$ 1.25
Waltham	485	1.3%	21	\$ 23,581	\$ 36,208	\$ 1.10
Weybridge	850	2.3%	102	\$ 29,751	\$ 41,131	\$ 1.57
Whiting	403	1.1%	43	\$ 29,265	\$ 27,174	\$ 1.28

**Table 3**

# Bennington County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Bennington County	36,956	100.0%	17,848	\$ 30,174	NA	NA
Arlington	2,449	6.6%	838	\$ 32,308	\$ 27,749	\$ 1.46
Bennington	15,473	41.9%	10,300	\$ 30,569	\$ 23,626	\$ 1.32
Dorset	2,061	5.6%	704	\$ 30,846	\$ 29,929	\$ 1.34
Glastenbury	16	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0.89
Landgrove	141	0.4%	49	\$ 29,039	\$ 44,300	\$ 1.39
Manchester	4,285	11.6%	4,418	\$ 29,487	\$ 29,202	\$ 1.44
Peru	432	1.2%	232	\$ 19,925	\$ 29,630	\$ 1.29
Pownal	3,545	9.6%	223	\$ 27,552	\$ 29,253	\$ 1.30
Readsboro	795	2.2%	81	\$ 23,097	\$ 23,555	\$ 0.91
Rupert	718	1.9%	62	\$ 22,855	\$ 30,385	\$ 1.41
Sandgate	347	0.9%	D	D	\$ 28,649	\$ 1.29
Searsburg	93	0.3%	D	D	\$ 24,053	\$ 0.97
Shaftsbury	3,753	10.2%	392	\$ 33,604	\$ 33,336	\$ 1.24
Stamford	816	2.2%	89	\$ 24,434	\$ 36,960	\$ 1.08
Sunderland	884	2.4%	84	\$ 34,515	\$ 33,408	\$ 1.18
Winhall	748	2.0%	342	\$ 29,807	\$ 32,379	\$ 1.31
Woodford	400	1.1%	27	\$ 22,154	\$ 27,824	\$ 1.02



**Table 4**

# Caledonia County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Caledonia County	30,464	100.0%	11,542	\$ 27,514	NA	NA
Barnet	1,758	5.8%	206	\$ 25,225	\$ 27,685	\$ 1.34
Burke	1,658	5.4%	318	\$ 17,743	\$ 27,189	\$ 1.14
Danville	2,289	7.5%	513	\$ 24,474	\$ 29,587	\$ 1.29
Groton	955	3.1%	107	\$ 30,983	\$ 26,320	\$ 1.30
Hardwick	3,233	10.6%	866	\$ 24,890	\$ 21,895	\$ 1.29
Kirby	498	1.6%	D	D	\$ 33,326	\$ 1.14
Lyndon	5,587	18.3%	2,919	\$ 28,013	\$ 23,159	\$ 1.01
Newark	469	1.5%	40	\$ 20,348	\$ 25,176	\$ 0.86
Peacham	676	2.2%	78	\$ 19,617	\$ 29,593	\$ 1.36
Ryegate	1,188	3.9%	99	\$ 32,785	\$ 25,127	\$ 1.39
St. Johnsbury	7,560	24.8%	5,929	\$ 28,690	\$ 22,991	\$ 1.25
Sheffield	729	2.4%	56	\$ 19,238	\$ 22,203	\$ 1.26
Stannard	196	0.6%	D	D	\$ 23,850	\$ 1.33
Sutton	1,057	3.5%	132	\$ 28,582	\$ 25,367	\$ 1.21
Walden	780	2.6%	44	\$ 26,922	\$ 23,889	\$ 1.22
Waterford	1,210	4.0%	208	\$ 24,938	\$ 31,176	\$ 1.36
Wheelock	621	2.0%	21	\$ 14,338	\$ 29,750	\$ 1.20

**Table 5**

# Chittenden County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Chittenden County	149,286	100.0%	94,881	\$ 38,433	NA	NA
Bolton	974	0.7%	161	\$ 19,959	\$ 35,244	\$ 1.34
Buels Gore	12	0.0%	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$ 0.78
Burlington City	38,934	26.1%	32,579	\$ 38,699	\$ 24,995	\$ 1.03
Charlotte	3,644	2.4%	495	\$ 35,270	\$ 48,457	\$ 1.50
Colchester	17,177	11.5%	8,569	\$ 36,939	\$ 36,105	\$ 1.15
Essex	19,065	12.8%	12,751	\$ 51,491	\$ 51,798	\$ 1.42
Hinesburg	4,427	3.0%	1,080	\$ 31,830	\$ 37,722	\$ 1.51
Huntington	1,939	1.3%	147	\$ 33,650	\$ 39,213	\$ 1.30
Jericho	5,067	3.4%	702	\$ 29,279	\$ 42,762	\$ 1.26
Milton	10,065	6.7%	2,461	\$ 36,408	\$ 35,673	\$ 1.13
Richmond	4,120	2.8%	1,106	\$ 29,985	\$ 39,463	\$ 1.23
St. George	688	0.5%	55	\$ 22,579	\$ 35,352	\$ 1.29
Shelburne	6,984	4.7%	3,271	\$ 29,223	\$ 44,755	\$ 1.43
So. Burlington City	16,460	11.0%	17,653	\$ 35,570	\$ 36,921	\$ 1.50
Underhill	3,020	2.0%	340	\$ 40,793	\$ 52,049	\$ 1.18
Westford	2,121	1.4%	231	\$ 23,870	\$ 42,620	\$ 1.28
Williston	8,224	5.5%	10,650	\$ 35,498	\$ 44,958	\$ 1.36
Winooski City	6,365	4.3%	2,628	\$ 33,129	\$ 24,411	\$ 1.14

**Table 6**

# Essex County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Essex County	6,654	100.0%	1,502	\$ 27,824	NA	NA
Averill	8	0.1%	D	D	NA	\$ 0.87
Avery's Gore	0	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0.00
Bloomfield	266	4.0%	D	D	\$ 23,367	\$ 1.03
Brighton	1,334	20.0%	280	\$ 21,290	\$ 17,660	\$ 1.16
Brunswick	108	1.6%	NA	NA	\$ 15,761	\$ 0.98
Canaan	1,124	16.9%	673	\$ 30,056	\$ 26,945	\$ 1.18
Concord	1,224	18.4%	190	\$ 24,943	\$ 24,234	\$ 1.33
East Haven	307	4.6%	23	\$ 12,859	\$ 23,550	\$ 1.00
Ferdinand	34	0.5%	D	D	NA	\$ 0.93
Granby	87	1.3%	22	\$ 35,870	\$ 27,596	\$ 0.93
Guildhall	271	4.1%	34	\$ 22,823	\$ 28,736	\$ 1.00
Lemington	111	1.7%	D	D	\$ 34,627	\$ 1.40
Lewis	0	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0.00
Lunenburg	1,342	20.2%	154	\$ 28,542	\$ 24,294	\$ 1.28
Maidstone	107	1.6%	D	D	\$ 28,440	\$ 0.96
Norton	222	3.3%	61	\$ 40,976	\$ 20,684	\$ 1.04
Victory	99	1.5%	D	D	\$ 23,017	\$ 1.20
Warners Grant	0	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0.00
Warren Gore	10	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0.86

**Table 7**

# Franklin County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Franklin County	47,556	100.0%	15,529	\$ 31,442	NA	NA
Bakersfield	1,346	2.8%	88	\$ 18,144	\$ 34,458	\$ 1.31
Berkshire	1,516	3.2%	85	\$ 20,276	\$ 31,165	\$ 1.18
Enosburg	2,799	5.9%	1,100	\$ 25,578	\$ 26,084	\$ 1.36
Fairfax	3,929	8.3%	643	\$ 29,219	\$ 39,487	\$ 1.19
Fairfield	1,869	3.9%	174	\$ 22,847	\$ 28,383	\$ 1.23
Fletcher	1,281	2.7%	51	\$ 18,922	\$ 39,289	\$ 1.23
Franklin	1,346	2.8%	143	\$ 20,859	\$ 30,465	\$ 1.09
Georgia	4,485	9.4%	760	\$ 34,887	\$ 42,768	\$ 1.25
Highgate	3,628	7.6%	681	\$ 32,344	\$ 29,945	\$ 1.14
Montgomery	1,050	2.2%	165	\$ 19,569	\$ 23,497	\$ 1.17
Richford	2,339	4.9%	666	\$ 28,925	\$ 22,602	\$ 1.22
St. Albans City	7,548	15.9%	7,566	\$ 33,619	\$ 26,478	\$ 1.22
St. Albans Town	5,812	12.2%	1,431	\$ 29,788	\$ 32,784	\$ 1.21
Sheldon	2,185	4.6%	368	\$ 36,903	\$ 30,308	\$ 1.19
Swanton	6,423	13.5%	1,609	\$ 30,152	\$ 29,261	\$ 1.09

**Table 8**

## Grand Isle County Towns

### Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Grand Isle County	7,643	100.0%	1,164	\$ 24,299	NA	NA
Alburg	2,080	27.2%	282	\$ 26,121	\$ 26,653	\$ 1.21
Grand Isle	2,276	29.8%	271	\$ 27,747	\$ 36,898	\$ 1.34
Isle La Motte	519	6.8%	60	\$ 17,293	\$ 25,220	\$ 1.14
North Hero	898	11.7%	230	\$ 23,714	\$ 37,913	\$ 1.28
South Hero	1,870	24.5%	322	\$ 21,523	\$ 36,888	\$ 1.30

**Table 9**

**Lamoille County Towns  
Demographic-Economic Comparison**

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491 \$	33,276 \$	29,234	NA
Lamoille County	24,418	100.0%	10,633 \$	27,003	NA	NA
Belvidere	295	1.2%	D	D \$	28,225 \$	1.44
Cambridge	3,187	13.1%	1,264 \$	23,052 \$	32,385 \$	1.37
Eden	1,157	4.7%	86 \$	19,066 \$	26,863 \$	1.45
Elmore	930	3.8%	25 \$	16,407 \$	38,379 \$	0.80
Hyde Park	3,042	12.5%	745 \$	30,277 \$	28,115 \$	1.28
Johnson	3,284	13.4%	874 \$	29,234 \$	22,294 \$	1.27
Morristown	5,502	22.5%	3,589 \$	29,137 \$	24,647 \$	1.13
Stowe	4,702	19.3%	3,796 \$	25,552 \$	30,048 \$	1.21
Waterville	700	2.9%	46 \$	26,946 \$	28,792 \$	1.24
Wolcott	1,619	6.6%	198 \$	24,012 \$	26,616 \$	1.18

**Table 10**

## Orange County Towns Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1/</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4/</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3/</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491 \$	33,276 \$	29,234	NA
Orange County	29,189	100.0%	7,847 \$	27,513	NA	NA
Bradford	2,711	9.3%	1,465 \$	26,563 \$	26,064 \$	1.37
Braintree	1,229	4.2%	108 \$	23,075 \$	27,916 \$	1.49
Brookfield	1,271	4.4%	119 \$	28,412 \$	31,516 \$	1.42
Chelsea	1,259	4.3%	354 \$	23,373 \$	26,059 \$	1.31
Corinth	1,487	5.1%	173 \$	25,432 \$	25,721 \$	1.24
Fairlee	1,017	3.5%	566 \$	26,006 \$	28,756 \$	1.42
Newbury	2,093	7.2%	604 \$	24,534 \$	27,794 \$	1.41
Orange	984	3.4%	56 \$	18,227 \$	30,514 \$	1.31
Randolph	5,031	17.2%	2,578 \$	29,759 \$	26,956 \$	1.30
Strafford	1,094	3.7%	156 \$	27,934 \$	33,679 \$	1.31
Thetford	2,761	9.5%	676 \$	30,404 \$	37,352 \$	1.51
Topsham	1,163	4.0%	68 \$	24,414 \$	27,439 \$	1.14
Tunbridge	1,332	4.6%	184 \$	25,141 \$	29,482 \$	1.12
Vershire	640	2.2%	103 \$	21,712 \$	32,891 \$	1.62
Washington	1,086	3.7%	98 \$	23,952 \$	26,042 \$	1.15
West Fairlee	740	2.5%	14 \$	20,558 \$	32,915 \$	1.56
Williamstown	3,291	11.3%	525 \$	28,744 \$	29,216 \$	1.17

**Table 11**

## Orleans County Towns Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1/</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4/</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3/</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Orleans County	27,372	100.0%	9,850	\$ 26,637	NA	NA
Albany	857	3.1%	47	\$ 23,697	\$ 22,404	\$ 1.23
Barton	2,912	10.6%	1,391	\$ 24,448	\$ 23,129	\$ 1.31
Brownington	904	3.3%	39	\$ 18,791	\$ 21,585	\$ 1.18
Charleston	912	3.3%	85	\$ 22,378	\$ 22,835	\$ 1.12
Coventry	1,038	3.8%	102	\$ 22,376	\$ 26,587	\$ 1.06
Craftsbury	1,155	4.2%	251	\$ 22,690	\$ 24,497	\$ 1.33
Derby	4,830	17.6%	1,711	\$ 31,843	\$ 25,938	\$ 1.15
Glover	982	3.6%	168	\$ 20,579	\$ 25,604	\$ 1.34
Greensboro	793	2.9%	292	\$ 20,952	\$ 19,197	\$ 1.50
Holland	600	2.2%	45	\$ 18,630	\$ 25,585	\$ 1.05
Irasburg	1,098	4.0%	188	\$ 26,244	\$ 26,634	\$ 1.12
Jay	453	1.7%	296	\$ 19,875	\$ 24,807	\$ 1.26
Lowell	752	2.7%	55	\$ 18,345	\$ 20,071	\$ 1.11
Morgan	729	2.7%	56	\$ 27,506	\$ 23,433	\$ 0.99
Newport City	5,118	18.7%	4,396	\$ 27,508	\$ 20,519	\$ 1.25
Newport Town	1,715	6.3%	366	\$ 23,925	\$ 23,692	\$ 1.12
Troy	1,676	6.1%	272	\$ 25,613	\$ 22,269	\$ 1.05
Westfield	525	1.9%	53	\$ 22,124	\$ 27,098	\$ 1.06
Westmore	323	1.2%	36	\$ 14,773	\$ 28,851	\$ 0.88



Table 12

# Rutland County Towns

## Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1/</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4/</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3/</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Rutland County	63,616	100.0%	29,318	\$ 31,445	NA	NA
Benson	1,037	1.6%	133	\$ 20,544	\$ 22,987	\$ 1.08
Brandon	3,942	6.2%	1,499	\$ 27,020	\$ 25,810	\$ 1.31
Castleton	4,355	6.8%	1,364	\$ 28,053	\$ 28,514	\$ 1.27
Chittenden	1,216	1.9%	157	\$ 24,716	\$ 30,521	\$ 1.30
Clarendon	2,881	4.5%	1,306	\$ 27,627	\$ 28,031	\$ 1.48
Danby	1,290	2.0%	250	\$ 31,746	\$ 26,990	\$ 1.25
Fair Haven	2,936	4.6%	986	\$ 23,760	\$ 23,196	\$ 1.19
Hubbardton	772	1.2%	19	\$ 12,233	\$ 28,532	\$ 1.14
Ira	453	0.7%	D	D	\$ 37,857	\$ 1.27
Killington	1,122	1.8%	1,869	\$ 22,726	\$ 24,589	\$ 1.38
Mendon	1,064	1.7%	376	\$ 24,561	\$ 35,763	\$ 1.39
Middletown Springs	821	1.3%	105	\$ 25,256	\$ 27,557	\$ 1.53
Mount Holly	1,237	1.9%	171	\$ 26,995	\$ 29,816	\$ 1.33
Mount Tabor	202	0.3%	D	D	\$ 33,748	\$ 1.22
Pawlet	1,431	2.2%	280	\$ 24,556	\$ 26,646	\$ 1.23
Pittsfield	425	0.7%	132	\$ 29,581	\$ 32,900	\$ 1.11
Pittsford	3,196	5.0%	826	\$ 35,998	\$ 31,762	\$ 1.34
Poultney	3,596	5.7%	971	\$ 27,123	\$ 25,040	\$ 1.42
Proctor	1,852	2.9%	386	\$ 44,111	\$ 28,716	\$ 1.64
Rutland City	17,080	26.8%	13,400	\$ 34,121	\$ 23,868	\$ 1.07
Rutland Town	4,108	6.5%	3,676	\$ 36,303	\$ 33,891	\$ 1.53
Shrewsbury	1,136	1.8%	240	\$ 26,358	\$ 31,292	\$ 1.24
Sudbury	604	0.9%	40	\$ 28,780	\$ 36,520	\$ 1.43
Tinmouth	605	1.0%	31	\$ 14,991	\$ 25,487	\$ 1.26
Wallingford	2,314	3.6%	404	\$ 24,872	\$ 31,305	\$ 1.44
Wells	1,117	1.8%	146	\$ 20,440	\$ 26,330	\$ 1.09
West Haven	295	0.5%	10	\$ 13,248	\$ 28,625	\$ 0.99
West Rutland	2,529	4.0%	514	\$ 26,550	\$ 25,507	\$ 1.26

**Table 13**

## Washington County Towns Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1/</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4/</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3/</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Washington County	59,068	100.0%	32,294	\$ 33,331	NA	NA
Barre City	9,141	15.5%	4,828	\$ 30,199	\$ 24,383	\$ 1.01
Barre Town	7,905	13.4%	1,835	\$ 33,338	\$ 34,026	\$ 1.07
Berlin	2,886	4.9%	5,102	\$ 31,957	\$ 30,564	\$ 1.48
Cabot	1,269	2.1%	573	\$ 31,210	\$ 24,076	\$ 1.45
Calais	1,542	2.6%	101	\$ 33,052	\$ 30,131	\$ 1.24
Duxbury	1,335	2.3%	197	\$ 34,729	\$ 37,828	\$ 1.25
East Montpelier	2,657	4.5%	688	\$ 31,110	\$ 35,766	\$ 1.35
Fayston	1,206	2.0%	201	\$ 24,377	\$ 40,313	\$ 1.42
Marshfield	1,595	2.7%	213	\$ 25,992	\$ 30,225	\$ 1.14
Middlesex	1,808	3.1%	278	\$ 39,649	\$ 41,028	\$ 1.48
Montpelier City	8,013	13.6%	8,905	\$ 37,618	\$ 29,207	\$ 1.29
Moretown	1,703	2.9%	401	\$ 36,817	\$ 32,670	\$ 1.52
Northfield	5,787	9.8%	1,812	\$ 29,727	\$ 28,844	\$ 1.30
Plainfield	1,364	2.3%	424	\$ 25,373	\$ 25,910	\$ 1.13
Roxbury	569	1.0%	86	\$ 22,095	\$ 30,728	\$ 1.38
Waitsfield	1,706	2.9%	1,366	\$ 28,990	\$ 30,477	\$ 1.24
Warren	1,716	2.9%	867	\$ 20,157	\$ 30,835	\$ 1.23
Waterbury	5,164	8.7%	4,267	\$ 37,076	\$ 33,830	\$ 1.20
Woodbury	814	1.4%	48	\$ 21,268	\$ 32,035	\$ 1.16
Worcester	888	1.5%	102	\$ 28,013	\$ 30,402	\$ 1.39

**Table 14**

## Windham County Towns Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1/</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2/</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4/</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3/</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Windham County	44,284	100.0%	22,978	\$ 32,919	NA	NA
Athens	337	0.8%	D	D	\$ 21,454	\$ 1.29
Brattleboro	11,944	27.0%	11,294	\$ 34,335	\$ 25,196	\$ 1.54
Brookline	462	1.0%	69	\$ 14,511	\$ 31,665	\$ 1.32
Dover	1,445	3.3%	1,165	\$ 20,759	\$ 25,551	\$ 1.14
Dummerston	1,936	4.4%	258	\$ 32,227	\$ 33,632	\$ 1.89
Grafton	641	1.4%	176	\$ 29,353	\$ 30,404	\$ 1.38
Guilford	2,022	4.6%	196	\$ 30,860	\$ 30,609	\$ 1.47
Halifax	818	1.8%	68	\$ 16,666	\$ 29,425	\$ 1.26
Jamaica	935	2.1%	191	\$ 23,147	\$ 24,778	\$ 1.22
Londonderry	1,770	4.0%	573	\$ 25,709	\$ 24,774	\$ 1.43
Marlboro	990	2.2%	284	\$ 26,259	\$ 32,614	\$ 1.20
Newfane	1,724	3.9%	375	\$ 24,812	\$ 29,480	\$ 1.41
Putney	2,666	6.0%	1,189	\$ 28,874	\$ 25,852	\$ 1.55
Rockingham	5,175	11.7%	2,534	\$ 30,925	\$ 24,198	\$ 1.52
Somerset	5	0.0%	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$ 1.03
Stratton	170	0.4%	D	D	\$ 26,619	\$ 1.21
Townshend	1,133	2.6%	622	\$ 39,325	\$ 26,893	\$ 1.42
Vernon	2,119	4.8%	1,046	\$ 70,212	\$ 37,677	\$ 1.19
Wardsboro	883	2.0%	104	\$ 25,784	\$ 23,222	\$ 1.24
Westminster	3,242	7.3%	645	\$ 29,738	\$ 29,351	\$ 1.49
Whitingham	1,255	2.8%	271	\$ 24,649	\$ 29,103	\$ 1.74
Wilmington	2,270	5.1%	1,028	\$ 23,711	\$ 24,066	\$ 1.50
Windham	342	0.8%	30	\$ 24,892	\$ 26,723	\$ 1.23

Table 15

## Windsor County Towns

### Demographic-Economic Comparison

	Population 2004 <sup>1</sup>	% Share County	Annual Average Employment 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Wage 2004 <sup>2</sup>	Median Adjusted Income 2004 <sup>4</sup>	Effective Homestead Tax Rate 2005 <sup>3</sup>
Vermont	621,394	NA	298,491	\$ 33,276	\$ 29,234	NA
Windsor County	58,023	100.0%	23,610	\$ 31,445	NA	NA
Andover	524	0.9%	44	\$ 24,833	\$ 32,805	\$ 0.93
Baltimore	260	0.4%	D	D	\$ 29,237	\$ 1.44
Barnard	947	1.6%	157	\$ 27,030	\$ 30,219	\$ 1.25
Bethel	1,980	3.4%	1,031	\$ 32,445	\$ 28,616	\$ 1.57
Bridgewater	965	1.7%	309	\$ 25,504	\$ 25,210	\$ 1.37
Cavendish	1,449	2.5%	507	\$ 28,792	\$ 26,878	\$ 1.28
Chester	3,122	5.4%	968	\$ 28,232	\$ 26,778	\$ 1.35
Hartford	10,698	18.4%	5,990	\$ 37,343	\$ 31,114	\$ 1.10
Hartland	3,184	5.5%	423	\$ 30,519	\$ 32,673	\$ 1.36
Ludlow	2,637	4.5%	1,886	\$ 25,934	\$ 24,463	\$ 1.32
Norwich	3,587	6.2%	846	\$ 31,458	\$ 48,009	\$ 1.78
Plymouth	580	1.0%	223	\$ 21,650	\$ 32,966	\$ 1.41
Pomfret	994	1.7%	177	\$ 26,367	\$ 43,536	\$ 1.39
Reading	728	1.3%	93	\$ 20,659	\$ 31,497	\$ 1.56
Rochester	1,183	2.0%	386	\$ 26,683	\$ 24,252	\$ 1.83
Royalton	2,567	4.4%	957	\$ 33,991	\$ 26,012	\$ 1.08
Sharon	1,396	2.4%	207	\$ 26,822	\$ 33,077	\$ 1.28
Springfield	8,957	15.4%	4,435	\$ 31,506	\$ 25,781	\$ 1.39
Stockbridge	684	1.2%	108	\$ 29,029	\$ 35,183	\$ 1.29
Weathersfield	2,839	4.9%	423	\$ 27,767	\$ 31,130	\$ 1.36
Weston	648	1.1%	205	\$ 20,008	\$ 31,211	\$ 1.33
West Windsor	1,100	1.9%	248	\$ 20,934	\$ 37,506	\$ 1.13
Windsor	3,759	6.5%	1,597	\$ 30,517	\$ 25,855	\$ 1.24
Woodstock	3,235	5.6%	2,385	\$ 28,977	\$ 31,996	\$ 1.44

#### Source - For Tables 2-15

<sup>1</sup> Vermont Department of Health

<sup>2</sup> Vermont Department of Labor; UI Covered Employment and Wages

<sup>3</sup> Vermont Division of Property Valuation and Review.

<sup>4</sup> Vermont Department of Taxes, Vermont Tax Statistics, Median Adjusted Gross Income by School District.

\* See Glossary for further details

D denotes data which cannot be disclosed. NA denotes data is not applicable.

Table 16

# Population Changes: 2000 - 2004

Age:	2000 <sup>1</sup>	2003 <sup>2</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>	Change 00-03	Change 03-04	% Change 00-03	% Change 03-04	Age Distribution	
								2000 <sup>1</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Addison County</b>									
0-4 Years	2,057	1,907	1,875	-150	-32	-7.3%	-1.7%	5.7%	5.1%
5-14 Years	5,186	4,842	4,612	-344	-230	-6.6%	-4.8%	14.4%	12.5%
15-19 Years	3,381	3,412	3,389	31	-23	0.9%	-0.7%	9.4%	9.2%
20-34 Years	6,808	7,031	6,992	223	-39	3.3%	-0.6%	18.9%	19.0%
35-54 Years	11,304	11,575	11,496	271	-79	2.4%	-0.7%	31.4%	31.2%
55-64 Years	3,173	3,858	4,237	685	379	21.6%	9.8%	8.8%	11.5%
65+ Years	4,065	4,210	4,264	145	54	3.6%	1.3%	11.3%	11.6%
Total	35,974	36,835	36,865	861	30	2.4%	0.1%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Bennington County</b>									
0-4 Years	1,922	1,889	1,839	-33	-50	-1.7%	-2.6%	5.2%	5.0%
5-14 Years	5,191	4,580	4,373	-611	-207	-11.8%	-4.5%	14.0%	11.8%
15-19 Years	2,598	2,582	2,565	-16	-17	-0.6%	-0.7%	7.0%	6.9%
20-34 Years	5,797	6,053	5,808	256	-245	4.4%	-4.0%	15.7%	15.7%
35-54 Years	11,413	11,268	11,325	-145	57	-1.3%	0.5%	30.9%	30.6%
55-64 Years	3,906	4,473	4,711	567	238	14.5%	5.3%	10.6%	12.7%
65+ Years	6,167	6,333	6,335	166	2	2.7%	0.0%	16.7%	17.1%
Total	36,994	37,178	36,956	184	-222	0.5%	-0.6%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Caledonia County</b>									
0-4 Years	1,628	1,589	1,675	-39	86	-2.4%	5.4%	5.5%	5.3%
5-14 Years	4,422	3,802	3,703	-620	-99	-14.0%	-2.6%	14.9%	12.7%
15-19 Years	2,386	2,367	2,344	-19	-23	-0.8%	-1.0%	8.0%	7.9%
20-34 Years	4,947	5,455	5,613	508	158	10.3%	2.9%	16.7%	18.2%
35-54 Years	9,303	9,125	9,189	-178	64	-1.9%	0.7%	31.3%	30.5%
55-64 Years	2,744	3,312	3,620	568	308	20.7%	9.3%	9.2%	11.1%
65+ Years	4,272	4,290	4,320	18	30	0.4%	0.7%	14.4%	14.3%
Total	29,702	29,940	30,464	238	524	0.8%	1.8%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Chittenden County</b>									
0-4 Years	8,487	8,469	8,468	-18	-1	-0.2%	0.0%	5.8%	5.7%
5-14 Years	20,205	18,842	18,233	-1,363	-609	-6.7%	-3.2%	13.8%	12.6%
15-19 Years	11,861	11,853	11,949	-8	96	-0.1%	0.8%	8.1%	8.0%
20-34 Years	34,396	33,681	32,319	-715	-1,362	-2.1%	-4.0%	23.5%	22.6%
35-54 Years	46,499	47,453	48,242	954	789	2.1%	1.7%	31.7%	31.8%
55-64 Years	11,343	13,932	15,219	2,589	1,287	22.8%	9.2%	7.7%	9.4%
65+ Years	13,780	14,760	14,856	980	96	7.1%	0.7%	9.4%	9.9%
Total	146,571	148,990	149,286	2,419	296	1.7%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 Continued

# Population Changes: 2000 - 2004

Age:	2000 <sup>1</sup>	2003 <sup>2</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>	Change 00-03	Change 03-04	% Change 00-03	% Change 03-04	Age Distribution	
								2000 <sup>1</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Essex County</b>									
0-4 Years	349	357	312	8	-45	2.3%	-12.6%	5.4%	5.4%
5-14 Years	1,000	853	842	-147	-11	-14.7%	-1.3%	15.5%	13.0%
15-19 Years	455	462	470	7	8	1.5%	1.7%	7.0%	7.0%
20-34 Years	997	1,068	1,070	71	2	7.1%	0.2%	15.4%	16.3%
35-54 Years	1,967	2,034	2,083	67	49	3.4%	2.4%	30.5%	31.0%
55-64 Years	710	809	870	99	61	13.9%	7.5%	11.0%	12.3%
65+ Years	981	986	1,007	5	21	0.5%	2.1%	15.2%	15.0%
Total	6,459	6,569	6,654	110	85	1.7%	1.3%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Franklin County</b>									
0-4 Years	3,215	3,007	3,067	-208	60	-6.5%	2.0%	7.1%	6.4%
5-14 Years	7,375	7,105	6,946	-270	-159	-3.7%	-2.2%	16.2%	15.1%
15-19 Years	3,222	3,124	3,228	-98	104	-3.0%	3.3%	7.1%	6.6%
20-34 Years	8,328	8,757	8,704	429	-53	5.2%	-0.6%	18.3%	18.6%
35-54 Years	14,442	15,223	15,468	781	245	5.4%	1.6%	31.8%	32.4%
55-64 Years	3,831	4,647	5,017	816	370	21.3%	8.0%	8.4%	9.9%
65+ Years	5,004	5,160	5,126	156	-34	3.1%	-0.7%	11.0%	11.0%
Total	45,417	47,023	47,556	1,606	533	3.5%	1.1%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Grand Isle County</b>									
0-4 Years	383	366	376	-17	10	-4.4%	2.7%	5.5%	4.9%
5-14 Years	1,012	935	903	-77	-32	-7.6%	-3.4%	14.7%	12.5%
15-19 Years	440	493	521	53	28	12.0%	5.7%	6.4%	6.6%
20-34 Years	1,014	1,303	1,324	289	21	28.5%	1.6%	14.7%	17.4%
35-54 Years	2,408	2,548	2,588	140	40	5.8%	1.6%	34.9%	34.0%
55-64 Years	794	942	1,009	148	67	18.6%	7.1%	11.5%	12.6%
65+ Years	850	903	922	53	19	6.2%	2.1%	12.3%	12.1%
Total	6,901	7,490	7,643	589	153	8.5%	2.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Lamoille County</b>									
0-4 Years	1,284	1,317	1,364	33	47	2.6%	3.6%	5.5%	5.4%
5-14 Years	3,371	3,111	3,002	-260	-109	-7.7%	-3.5%	14.5%	12.8%
15-19 Years	1,696	1,734	1,735	38	1	2.2%	0.1%	7.3%	7.1%
20-34 Years	4,676	5,057	4,964	381	-93	8.1%	-1.8%	20.1%	20.8%
35-54 Years	7,372	7,546	7,580	174	34	2.4%	0.5%	31.7%	31.1%
55-64 Years	2,196	2,620	2,821	424	201	19.3%	7.7%	9.5%	10.8%
65+ Years	2,638	2,899	2,952	261	53	9.9%	1.8%	11.4%	11.9%
Total	23,233	24,284	24,418	1,051	134	4.5%	0.6%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 Continued

# Population Changes: 2000 - 2004

Age:	2000 <sup>1</sup>	2003 <sup>2</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>	Change 00-03	Change 03-04	% Change 00-03	% Change 03-04	Age Distribution	
								2000 <sup>1</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Orange County</b>									
0-4 Years	1,588	1,429	1,461	-159	32	-10.0%	2.2%	5.6%	4.9%
5-14 Years	4,244	3,810	3,620	-434	-190	-10.2%	-5.0%	15.0%	13.1%
15-19 Years	2,215	2,251	2,282	36	31	1.6%	1.4%	7.8%	7.7%
20-34 Years	4,458	5,032	4,993	574	-39	12.9%	-0.8%	15.8%	17.3%
35-54 Years	9,358	9,413	9,458	55	45	0.6%	0.5%	33.2%	32.4%
55-64 Years	2,751	3,308	3,609	557	301	20.2%	9.1%	9.7%	11.4%
65+ Years	3,612	3,838	3,766	226	-72	6.3%	-1.9%	12.8%	13.2%
Total	28,226	29,081	29,189	855	108	3.0%	0.4%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Orleans County</b>									
0-4 Years	1,488	1,455	1,438	-33	-17	-2.2%	-1.2%	5.7%	5.4%
5-14 Years	3,812	3,457	3,364	-355	-93	-9.3%	-2.7%	14.5%	12.8%
15-19 Years	1,885	1,873	1,849	-12	-24	-0.6%	-1.3%	7.2%	6.9%
20-34 Years	4,312	4,817	4,881	505	64	11.7%	1.3%	16.4%	17.8%
35-54 Years	8,042	8,143	8,275	101	132	1.3%	1.6%	30.6%	30.0%
55-64 Years	2,786	3,225	3,398	439	173	15.8%	5.4%	10.6%	11.9%
65+ Years	3,952	4,133	4,167	181	34	4.6%	0.8%	15.0%	15.2%
Total	26,277	27,103	27,372	826	269	3.1%	1.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Rutland County</b>									
0-4 Years	3,273	3,248	3,209	-25	-39	-0.8%	-1.2%	5.2%	5.1%
5-14 Years	8,711	7,587	7,328	-1,124	-259	-12.9%	-3.4%	13.7%	11.9%
15-19 Years	4,540	4,526	4,458	-14	-68	-0.3%	-1.5%	7.2%	7.1%
20-34 Years	10,708	10,840	10,644	132	-196	1.2%	-1.8%	16.9%	17.1%
35-54 Years	20,263	20,244	20,207	-19	-37	-0.1%	-0.2%	32.0%	31.9%
55-64 Years	6,425	7,501	8,006	1,076	505	16.7%	6.7%	10.1%	11.8%
65+ Years	9,480	9,558	9,764	78	206	0.8%	2.2%	15.0%	15.1%
Total	63,400	63,504	63,616	104	112	0.2%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Washington County</b>									
0-4 Years	3,121	3,154	3,199	33	45	1.1%	1.4%	5.4%	5.4%
5-14 Years	7,961	7,094	6,935	-867	-159	-10.9%	-2.2%	13.7%	12.1%
15-19 Years	4,224	4,187	4,126	-37	-61	-0.9%	-1.5%	7.3%	7.1%
20-34 Years	10,509	10,810	10,545	301	-265	2.9%	-2.5%	18.1%	18.4%
35-54 Years	19,278	19,362	19,399	84	37	0.4%	0.2%	33.2%	32.9%
55-64 Years	5,483	6,636	7,224	1,153	588	21.0%	8.9%	9.4%	11.3%
65+ Years	7,463	7,593	7,640	130	47	1.7%	0.6%	12.9%	12.9%
Total	58,039	58,836	59,068	797	232	1.4%	0.4%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 16 Continued

# Population Changes: 2000 - 2004

Age:	2000 <sup>1</sup>	2003 <sup>2</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>	Change 00-03	Change 03-04	% Change 00-03	% Change 03-04	Age Distribution	
								2000 <sup>1</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Windham County</b>									
0-4 Years	2,340	2,279	2,309	-61	30	-2.6%	1.3%	5.3%	5.1%
5-14 Years	6,078	5,391	5,127	-687	-264	-11.3%	-4.9%	13.7%	12.1%
15-19 Years	3,104	3,033	2,964	-71	-69	-2.3%	-2.3%	7.0%	6.8%
20-34 Years	7,026	7,260	6,926	234	-334	3.3%	-4.6%	15.9%	16.4%
35-54 Years	14,966	14,746	14,731	-220	-15	-1.5%	-0.1%	33.8%	33.2%
55-64 Years	4,529	5,480	5,929	951	449	21.0%	8.2%	10.2%	12.3%
65+ Years	6,173	6,190	6,298	17	108	0.3%	1.7%	14.0%	13.9%
Total	44,216	44,379	44,284	163	-95	0.4%	-0.2%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Windsor County</b>									
0-4 Years	2,854	2,783	2,792	-71	9	-2.5%	0.3%	5.0%	4.8%
5-14 Years	7,930	6,939	6,585	-991	-354	-12.5%	-5.1%	13.8%	12.0%
15-19 Years	3,763	3,810	3,763	47	-47	1.2%	-1.2%	6.6%	6.6%
20-34 Years	8,443	8,930	8,715	487	-215	5.8%	-2.4%	14.7%	15.4%
35-54 Years	19,106	18,724	18,839	-382	115	-2.0%	0.6%	33.3%	32.3%
55-64 Years	6,249	7,439	7,984	1,190	545	19.0%	7.3%	10.9%	12.8%
65+ Years	9,073	9,279	9,345	206	66	2.3%	0.7%	15.8%	16.0%
Total	57,418	57,904	58,023	486	119	0.8%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Vermont</b>									
0-4 Years	33,989	33,249	33,384	-740	135	-2.2%	0.4%	5.6%	5.4%
5-14 Years	86,498	78,348	75,573	-8,150	-2,775	-9.4%	-3.5%	14.2%	12.7%
15-19 Years	45,770	45,707	45,643	-63	-64	-0.1%	-0.1%	7.5%	7.4%
20-34 Years	112,419	116,094	113,498	3,675	-2,596	3.3%	-2.2%	18.5%	18.8%
35-54 Years	195,721	197,404	198,880	1,683	1,476	0.9%	0.7%	32.1%	31.9%
55-64 Years	56,920	68,182	73,654	11,262	5,472	19.8%	8.0%	9.3%	11.0%
65+ Years	77,510	80,132	80,762	2,622	630	3.4%	0.8%	12.7%	12.9%
Total	608,827	619,116	621,394	10,289	2,278	1.7%	0.4%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Gender:</b>									
	2000 <sup>1</sup>	2003 <sup>2</sup>	2004 <sup>2</sup>	Change 00-03	Change 03-04	%Change 00-03	% Change 03-04		
Male	298,337	304,102	305,802	5,765	1,700	1.9%	0.6%		
Female	310,490	315,014	315,592	4,524	578	1.5%	0.2%		
Total	608,827	619,116	621,394	10,289	2,278	1.7%	0.4%		

**Source - For Table 16**

- <sup>1</sup> Census of Population & Housing, Bureau of the Census
- <sup>2</sup> Vermont Department of Health Estimates

NA Not Available



Table 17

## Population Projections: 2000 - 2020

County	Census 2000	Projection 2005	Projection 2010	Projection 2015	Projection 2020	% Change 2000-2005	% Change 2005-2010	% Change 2010-2015	% Change 2015-2020
Vermont	608,827	625,935	639,241	652,199	666,041	2.8%	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%
Addison	35,974	37,052	37,907	38,805	39,813	3.0%	2.3%	2.4%	2.6%
Bennington	36,994	37,295	37,420	37,530	37,694	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Caledonia	29,702	30,455	31,121	31,816	32,550	2.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%
Chittenden	146,571	152,846	157,471	161,491	165,813	4.3%	3.0%	2.6%	2.7%
Essex	6,459	6,603	6,711	6,848	6,981	2.2%	1.6%	2.0%	1.9%
Franklin	45,417	47,617	49,583	51,701	54,065	4.8%	4.1%	4.3%	4.6%
Grand Isle	6,901	7,423	7,923	8,433	8,958	7.6%	6.7%	6.4%	6.2%
Lamoille	23,233	24,442	25,601	26,756	27,898	5.2%	4.7%	4.5%	4.3%
Orange	28,226	28,976	29,544	30,122	30,737	2.7%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Orleans	26,277	26,899	27,453	28,009	28,562	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Rutland	63,400	63,936	64,255	64,637	65,030	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
Washington	58,039	59,141	59,931	60,636	61,322	1.9%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%
Windham	44,216	45,093	45,769	46,455	47,171	2.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Windsor	57,418	58,154	58,553	58,960	59,446	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%

### Source

Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic and Research

Further details available at:

<http://www.dad.state.vt.us/reports/vtpopulationprojections.pdf>

Published August 2003

Table 18

# Vermont

## Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	352,950	---	355,900	---
Unemployment	13,050	---	12,400	---
Rate (%)	3.7%	---	3.5%	---
Employment	339,850	---	343,500	---

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

## Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	294,288	100.0%	298,491	100.0%	4,203	1.4%
Private Ownership	244,531	83.1%	248,094	83.1%	3,563	1.5%
Goods Producing	55,811	19.0%	56,714	19.0%	903	1.6%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	2,174	0.7%	2,301	0.8%	127	5.8%
Mining	772	0.3%	762	0.3%	-10	-1.3%
Construction	15,378	5.2%	16,674	5.6%	1,296	8.4%
Manufacturing	37,486	12.7%	36,978	12.4%	-509	-1.4%
Durable Goods	26,741	9.1%	26,418	8.9%	-324	-1.2%
Non-Durable Goods	10,745	3.7%	10,560	3.5%	-185	-1.7%
Service Providing	188,720	64.1%	191,380	64.1%	2,660	1.4%
Wholesale trade	10,256	3.5%	10,198	3.4%	-58	-0.6%
Retail trade	39,519	13.4%	40,042	13.4%	523	1.3%
Transportation & warehousing	6,574	2.2%	6,759	2.3%	185	2.8%
Utilities	1,726	0.6%	1,714	0.6%	-12	-0.7%
Information	6,495	2.2%	6,363	2.1%	-132	-2.0%
Finance and Insurance	9,888	3.4%	9,751	3.3%	-137	-1.4%
Real estate & rental & leasing	3,101	1.1%	3,254	1.1%	152	4.9%
Professional, scientific & technical services	12,230	4.2%	12,591	4.2%	361	2.9%
Management of companies & enterprises	282	0.1%	307	0.1%	25	8.7%
Administrative & waste services	7,882	2.7%	8,375	2.8%	493	6.3%
Educational services	9,005	3.1%	8,973	3.0%	-32	-0.4%
Health care & social assistance	39,693	13.5%	40,715	13.6%	1,022	2.6%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	3,691	1.3%	3,718	1.2%	27	0.7%
Accommodation & food services	29,034	9.9%	29,325	9.8%	291	1.0%
Other services, except public administration	9,343	3.2%	9,297	3.1%	-47	-0.5%
Government Ownership	49,757	16.9%	50,397	16.9%	639	1.3%

Table 19

## Addison County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	21,300	6.0%	21,550	6.1%
Unemployment Rate (%)	750	5.7%	700	5.6%
Employment	3.5%	NA	3.3%	NA
	20,600	6.1%	20,850	6.1%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	13,907	100.0%	13,878	100.0%	-29	-0.2%
Private Ownership	11,881	85.4%	11,836	85.3%	-45	-0.4%
Goods Producing	3,425	24.6%	3,452	24.9%	27	0.8%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	499	3.6%	533	3.8%	34	6.8%
Mining	43	0.3%	45	0.3%	2	5.2%
Construction	789	5.7%	813	5.9%	24	3.1%
Manufacturing	2,094	15.1%	2,061	14.9%	-33	-1.6%
Durable Goods	1,229	8.8%	1,199	8.6%	-30	-2.4%
Non-Durable Goods	866	6.2%	862	6.2%	-4	-0.4%
Service Providing	8,455	60.8%	8,383	60.4%	-72	-0.9%
Wholesale trade	275	2.0%	254	1.8%	-21	-7.6%
Retail trade	1,755	12.6%	1,891	13.6%	136	7.7%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	116	0.8%	119	0.9%	3	2.9%
Finance and Insurance	365	2.6%	312	2.2%	-52	-14.4%
Real estate & rental & leasing	124	0.9%	111	0.8%	-13	-10.7%
Professional, scientific & technical services	414	3.0%	455	3.3%	41	9.9%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	203	1.5%	210	1.5%	7	3.2%
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	D	D	D	D	D	D
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	105	0.8%	104	0.8%	-1	-1.1%
Accommodation & food services	1,187	8.5%	1,163	8.4%	-24	-2.0%
Other services, except public administration	329	2.4%	337	2.4%	7	2.2%
Government Ownership	2,027	14.6%	2,042	14.7%	15	0.8%

Table 20

## Bennington County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	20,050	5.7%	20,150	5.7%
Unemployment	800	6.1%	750	6.0%
Rate (%)	4.0%	NA	3.6%	NA
Employment	19,250	5.7%	19,400	5.6%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	17,977	100.0%	17,848	100.0%	-129	-0.7%
Private Ownership	15,779	87.8%	15,863	88.9%	84	0.5%
Goods Producing	3,478	19.3%	3,421	19.2%	-58	-1.7%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Construction	685	3.8%	755	4.2%	70	10.3%
Manufacturing	2,692	15.0%	2,568	14.4%	-123	-4.6%
Durable Goods	1,943	10.8%	1,888	10.6%	-55	-2.8%
Non-Durable Goods	749	4.2%	680	3.8%	-68	-9.1%
Service Providing	12,300	68.4%	12,442	69.7%	142	1.2%
Wholesale trade	283	1.6%	266	1.5%	-17	-5.8%
Retail trade	3,372	18.8%	3,421	19.2%	49	1.5%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	402	2.2%	396	2.2%	-6	-1.5%
Finance and Insurance	406	2.3%	426	2.4%	19	4.8%
Real estate & rental & leasing	220	1.2%	244	1.4%	23	10.6%
Professional, scientific & technical services	415	2.3%	397	2.2%	-17	-4.2%
Management of companies & enterprises	14	0.1%	17	0.1%	3	18.9%
Administrative & waste services	440	2.4%	477	2.7%	37	8.4%
Educational services	750	4.2%	769	4.3%	19	2.6%
Health care & social assistance	2,863	15.9%	2,865	16.1%	2	0.1%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	427	2.4%	422	2.4%	-6	-1.3%
Accommodation & food services	1,949	10.8%	2,004	11.2%	55	2.8%
Other services, except public administration	537	3.0%	535	3.0%	-2	-0.3%
Government Ownership	2,199	12.2%	1,986	11.1%	-213	-9.7%

Table 21

## Caledonia County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	16,650	4.7%	16,800	4.7%
Unemployment Rate (%)	700	5.4%	650	5.2%
Employment	4.2%	NA	3.8%	NA
	15,900	4.7%	16,150	4.7%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	11,367	100.0%	11,542	100.0%	176	1.5%
Private Ownership	9,448	83.1%	9,593	83.1%	144	1.5%
Goods Producing	2,546	22.4%	2,645	22.9%	99	3.9%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	134	1.2%	143	1.2%	9	6.6%
Mining	12	0.1%	14	0.1%	2	19.3%
Construction	729	6.4%	786	6.8%	57	7.8%
Manufacturing	1,672	14.7%	1,703	14.8%	31	1.9%
Durable Goods	1,197	10.5%	1,236	10.7%	39	3.2%
Non-Durable Goods	475	4.2%	467	4.0%	-8	-1.7%
Service Providing	6,902	60.7%	6,947	60.2%	45	0.7%
Wholesale trade	291	2.6%	303	2.6%	12	4.1%
Retail trade	1,618	14.2%	1,623	14.1%	5	0.3%
Transportation & warehousing	333	2.9%	369	3.2%	37	11.0%
Utilities	45	0.4%	49	0.4%	4	8.3%
Information	272	2.4%	267	2.3%	-5	-1.8%
Finance and Insurance	348	3.1%	331	2.9%	-17	-4.9%
Real estate & rental & leasing	64	0.6%	74	0.6%	10	15.9%
Professional, scientific & technical services	296	2.6%	294	2.5%	-2	-0.7%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	463	4.1%	470	4.1%	8	1.6%
Health care & social assistance	1,643	14.5%	1,655	14.3%	12	0.7%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	71	0.6%	72	0.6%	1	1.5%
Accommodation & food services	930	8.2%	926	8.0%	-4	-0.4%
Other services, except public administration	375	3.3%	380	3.3%	5	1.4%
Government Ownership	1,918	16.9%	1,950	16.9%	32	1.6%

Table 22

## Chittenden County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	88,350	25.0%	88,750	24.9%
Unemployment Rate (%)	2,850	21.8%	2,750	22.2%
Employment	3.2%	NA	3.1%	NA
	85,450	25.1%	86,000	25.0%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	93,533	100.0%	94,881	100.0%	1,347	1.4%
Private Ownership	79,446	84.9%	80,362	84.7%	916	1.2%
Goods Producing	18,186	19.4%	18,170	19.2%	-16	-0.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	146	0.2%	137	0.1%	-10	-6.7%
Mining	39	0.0%	41	0.0%	3	6.5%
Construction	4,869	5.2%	5,298	5.6%	429	8.8%
Manufacturing	13,132	14.0%	12,694	13.4%	-438	-3.3%
Durable Goods	11,107	11.9%	10,763	11.3%	-344	-3.1%
Non-Durable Goods	2,025	2.2%	1,931	2.0%	-94	-4.6%
Service Providing	61,260	65.5%	62,192	65.5%	932	1.5%
Wholesale trade	3,408	3.6%	3,426	3.6%	18	0.5%
Retail trade	12,571	13.4%	12,731	13.4%	160	1.3%
Transportation & warehousing	2,494	2.7%	2,504	2.6%	10	0.4%
Utilities	263	0.3%	252	0.3%	-10	-3.9%
Information	2,472	2.6%	2,429	2.6%	-43	-1.7%
Finance and Insurance	3,728	4.0%	3,673	3.9%	-55	-1.5%
Real estate & rental & leasing	1,164	1.2%	1,204	1.3%	41	3.5%
Professional, scientific & technical services	5,852	6.3%	5,911	6.2%	59	1.0%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	2,200	2.4%	2,199	2.3%	-1	0.0%
Health care & social assistance	12,325	13.2%	12,553	13.2%	227	1.8%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	1,568	1.7%	1,559	1.6%	-9	-0.6%
Accommodation & food services	6,851	7.3%	7,234	7.6%	383	5.6%
Other services, except public administration	3,050	3.3%	2,924	3.1%	-125	-4.1%
Government Ownership	14,087	15.1%	14,518	15.3%	431	3.1%

Table 23

## Essex County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	3,300	0.9%	3,350	0.9%
Unemployment Rate (%)	150	1.1%	150	1.2%
Employment	4.0%	NA	3.7%	NA
	3,150	0.9%	3,200	0.9%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	1,419	100.0%	1,502	100.0%	83	5.9%
Private Ownership	1,052	74.2%	1,087	72.4%	35	3.3%
Goods Producing	675	47.6%	709	47.2%	33	5.0%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Construction	60	4.2%	71	4.7%	11	18.6%
Manufacturing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Non-Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Service Providing	377	26.6%	379	25.2%	2	0.4%
Wholesale trade	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Retail trade	81	5.7%	82	5.5%	1	1.2%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	D	D	D	D	D	D
Finance and Insurance	D	D	D	D	D	D
Real estate & rental & leasing	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Professional, scientific & technical services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	NA	#VALUE!	NA	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
Health care & social assistance	67	4.7%	66	4.4%	-1	-1.3%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	D	D	D	D	D	D
Accommodation & food services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Other services, except public administration	24	1.7%	24	1.6%	0	1.8%
Government Ownership	366	25.8%	414	27.6%	48	13.1%

Table 24

## Franklin County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	26,150	7.4%	26,250	7.4%
Unemployment Rate (%)	1,050	8.0%	1,000	8.1%
Employment	4.1%	NA	3.8%	NA
	25,100	7.4%	25,250	7.4%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	15,352	100.0%	15,529	100.0%	177	1.2%
Private Ownership	11,938	77.8%	12,040	77.5%	102	0.9%
Goods Producing	3,479	22.7%	3,515	22.6%	36	1.0%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	258	1.7%	303	1.9%	45	17.3%
Mining	22	0.1%	20	0.1%	-2	-8.5%
Construction	515	3.4%	499	3.2%	-16	-3.2%
Manufacturing	2,685	17.5%	2,694	17.3%	9	0.3%
Durable Goods	679	4.4%	699	4.5%	20	2.9%
Non-Durable Goods	2,006	13.1%	1,995	12.8%	-11	-0.5%
Service Providing	8,459	55.1%	8,525	54.9%	67	0.8%
Wholesale trade	574	3.7%	553	3.6%	-21	-3.7%
Retail trade	2,128	13.9%	2,157	13.9%	30	1.4%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	643	4.2%	721	4.6%	78	12.2%
Finance and Insurance	294	1.9%	288	1.9%	-6	-2.2%
Real estate & rental & leasing	85	0.6%	89	0.6%	4	4.5%
Professional, scientific & technical services	285	1.9%	263	1.7%	-22	-7.7%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	D	D	D	D	D	D
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	79	0.5%	86	0.6%	7	8.5%
Accommodation & food services	961	6.3%	949	6.1%	-12	-1.3%
Other services, except public administration	348	2.3%	349	2.2%	0	0.1%
Government Ownership	3,414	22.2%	3,489	22.5%	75	2.2%



Table 25

## Grand Isle County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	4,250	1.2%	4,300	1.2%
Unemployment	200	1.5%	200	1.6%
Rate (%)	4.7%	NA	4.9%	NA
Employment	4,050	1.2%	4,100	1.2%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	1,051	100.0%	1,164	100.0%	113	10.7%
Private Ownership	755	71.8%	845	72.6%	90	11.9%
Goods Producing	194	18.5%	253	21.8%	59	30.5%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	130	12.3%	187	16.0%	57	43.7%
Manufacturing	31	2.9%	34	2.9%	4	11.4%
Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Non-Durable Goods	D	D	D	D	D	D
Service Providing	561	53.4%	591	50.8%	30	5.4%
Wholesale trade	D	D	D	D	D	D
Retail trade	173	16.4%	179	15.4%	6	3.7%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	D	D	D	D	D	D
Finance and Insurance	D	D	D	D	D	D
Real estate & rental & leasing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Professional, scientific & technical services	22	2.1%	25	2.1%	3	13.2%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	31	3.0%	32	2.7%	1	1.9%
Educational services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Health care & social assistance	D	D	D	D	D	D
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	35	3.3%	33	2.8%	-2	-4.8%
Accommodation & food services	133	12.7%	138	11.9%	5	3.6%
Other services, except public administration	28	2.7%	29	2.5%	1	4.8%
Government Ownership	296	28.2%	319	27.4%	23	7.8%

Table 26

## Lamoille County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	14,550	4.1%	14,950	4.2%
Unemployment	600	4.6%	550	4.4%
Rate (%)	4.1%	NA	3.8%	NA
Employment	13,950	4.1%	14,400	4.2%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	10,562	100.0%	10,633	100.0%	71	0.7%
Private Ownership	8,986	85.1%	9,021	84.8%	36	0.4%
Goods Producing	1,488	14.1%	1,528	14.4%	40	2.7%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	781	7.4%	804	7.6%	22	2.9%
Manufacturing	644	6.1%	658	6.2%	14	2.2%
Durable Goods	444	4.2%	449	4.2%	5	1.1%
Non-Durable Goods	200	1.9%	209	2.0%	9	4.6%
Service Providing	7,497	71.0%	7,493	70.5%	-4	-0.1%
Wholesale trade	D	D	D	D	D	D
Retail trade	1,492	14.1%	1,495	14.1%	3	0.2%
Transportation & warehousing	119	1.1%	118	1.1%	-1	-0.5%
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	100	0.9%	100	0.9%	0	-0.1%
Finance and Insurance	209	2.0%	202	1.9%	-6	-3.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing	96	0.9%	118	1.1%	22	23.3%
Professional, scientific & technical services	271	2.6%	294	2.8%	22	8.3%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	227	2.2%	261	2.5%	34	14.8%
Educational services	179	1.7%	187	1.8%	8	4.6%
Health care & social assistance	1,308	12.4%	1,301	12.2%	-7	-0.5%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	137	1.3%	125	1.2%	-12	-8.9%
Accommodation & food services	2,896	27.4%	2,803	26.4%	-93	-3.2%
Other services, except public administration	328	3.1%	341	3.2%	13	3.9%
Government Ownership	1,576	14.9%	1,611	15.2%	35	2.2%

Table 27

## Orange County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	16,400	4.6%	16,550	4.7%
Unemployment Rate (%)	550	4.2%	550	4.4%
Employment	3.4%	NA	3.2%	NA
	15,850	4.7%	16,000	4.7%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	7,982	100.0%	7,847	100.0%	-135	-1.7%
Private Ownership	6,047	75.8%	5,911	75.3%	-137	-2.3%
Goods Producing	1,568	19.6%	1,599	20.4%	31	2.0%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	557	7.0%	588	7.5%	31	5.6%
Manufacturing	878	11.0%	847	10.8%	-31	-3.5%
Durable Goods	621	7.8%	660	8.4%	39	6.3%
Non-Durable Goods	257	3.2%	187	2.4%	-70	-27.4%
Service Providing	4,480	56.1%	4,312	55.0%	-168	-3.7%
Wholesale trade	316	4.0%	289	3.7%	-27	-8.5%
Retail trade	966	12.1%	966	12.3%	-1	-0.1%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	102	1.3%	97	1.2%	-5	-4.8%
Finance and Insurance	172	2.2%	176	2.2%	4	2.5%
Real estate & rental & leasing	56	0.7%	52	0.7%	-4	-6.5%
Professional, scientific & technical services	260	3.3%	255	3.3%	-4	-1.6%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	140	1.8%	146	1.9%	6	4.0%
Health care & social assistance	1,085	13.6%	1,104	14.1%	18	1.7%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	57	0.7%	71	0.9%	14	24.6%
Accommodation & food services	749	9.4%	664	8.5%	-86	-11.5%
Other services, except public administration	272	3.4%	185	2.4%	-87	-31.9%
Government Ownership	1,935	24.2%	1,936	24.7%	1	0.1%

Table 28

## Orleans County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	14,200	4.0%	14,450	4.1%
Unemployment Rate (%)	700	5.4%	700	5.6%
Employment	5.1%	NA	5.0%	NA
	13,450	4.0%	13,750	4.0%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	9,578	100.0%	9,850	100.0%	272	2.8%
Private Ownership	7,682	80.2%	7,900	80.2%	218	2.8%
Goods Producing	2,323	24.3%	2,406	24.4%	83	3.6%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Construction	563	5.9%	652	6.6%	89	15.8%
Manufacturing	1,624	17.0%	1,608	16.3%	-16	-1.0%
Durable Goods	1,358	14.2%	1,349	13.7%	-10	-0.7%
Non-Durable Goods	266	2.8%	260	2.6%	-6	-2.3%
Service Providing	5,359	55.9%	5,494	55.8%	135	2.5%
Wholesale trade	D	D	D	D	D	D
Retail trade	1,229	12.8%	1,274	12.9%	46	3.7%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	99	1.0%	94	1.0%	-6	-5.9%
Finance and Insurance	233	2.4%	240	2.4%	6	2.8%
Real estate & rental & leasing	41	0.4%	67	0.7%	27	65.9%
Professional, scientific & technical services	162	1.7%	164	1.7%	2	1.1%
Management of companies & enterprises	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Administrative & waste services	126	1.3%	114	1.2%	-12	-9.8%
Educational services	200	2.1%	181	1.8%	-18	-9.2%
Health care & social assistance	1,646	17.2%	1,687	17.1%	41	2.5%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	65	0.7%	88	0.9%	23	36.1%
Accommodation & food services	788	8.2%	829	8.4%	41	5.2%
Other services, except public administration	263	2.7%	250	2.5%	-13	-4.9%
Government Ownership	1,897	19.8%	1,950	19.8%	54	2.8%

Table 29

## Rutland County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	35,650	10.1%	36,250	10.2%
Unemployment	1,450	11.1%	1,300	10.5%
Rate (%)	4.1%	NA	3.6%	NA
Employment	34,200	10.1%	34,900	10.2%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	28,552	100.0%	29,318	100.0%	766	2.7%
Private Ownership	24,190	84.7%	24,863	84.8%	673	2.8%
Goods Producing	5,856	20.5%	6,052	20.6%	196	3.3%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	58	0.2%	69	0.2%	10	17.9%
Mining	410	1.4%	431	1.5%	21	5.1%
Construction	1,635	5.7%	1,739	5.9%	104	6.4%
Manufacturing	3,753	13.1%	3,814	13.0%	60	1.6%
Durable Goods	3,244	11.4%	3,305	11.3%	61	1.9%
Non-Durable Goods	509	1.8%	508	1.7%	-1	-0.2%
Service Providing	18,334	64.2%	18,811	64.2%	477	2.6%
Wholesale trade	902	3.2%	828	2.8%	-75	-8.3%
Retail trade	4,303	15.1%	4,377	14.9%	74	1.7%
Transportation & warehousing	567	2.0%	746	2.5%	179	31.5%
Utilities	487	1.7%	483	1.6%	-4	-0.9%
Information	454	1.6%	455	1.6%	1	0.2%
Finance and Insurance	562	2.0%	618	2.1%	56	10.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing	337	1.2%	343	1.2%	6	1.9%
Professional, scientific & technical services	1,004	3.5%	1,010	3.4%	6	0.6%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	338	1.2%	355	1.2%	17	5.1%
Health care & social assistance	3,815	13.4%	3,912	13.3%	97	2.5%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	231	0.8%	259	0.9%	29	12.6%
Accommodation & food services	3,511	12.3%	3,536	12.1%	25	0.7%
Other services, except public administration	905	3.2%	901	3.1%	-4	-0.5%
Government Ownership	4,362	15.3%	4,455	15.2%	93	2.1%

Table 30

## Washington County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	34,400	9.7%	34,700	9.7%
Unemployment Rate (%)	1,300	10.0%	1,250	10.1%
Employment	33,100	9.7%	33,450	9.7%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	31,927	100.0%	32,294	100.0%	368	1.2%
Private Ownership	23,973	75.1%	24,327	75.3%	355	1.5%
Goods Producing	4,343	13.6%	4,521	14.0%	179	4.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	95	0.3%	98	0.3%	4	3.9%
Mining	138	0.4%	139	0.4%	1	0.7%
Construction	1,225	3.8%	1,347	4.2%	122	10.0%
Manufacturing	2,886	9.0%	2,937	9.1%	51	1.8%
Durable Goods	1,294	4.1%	1,277	4.0%	-17	-1.3%
Non-Durable Goods	1,592	5.0%	1,660	5.1%	69	4.3%
Service Providing	19,630	61.5%	19,806	61.3%	176	0.9%
Wholesale trade	935	2.9%	927	2.9%	-8	-0.9%
Retail trade	4,042	12.7%	4,062	12.6%	19	0.5%
Transportation & warehousing	516	1.6%	506	1.6%	-9	-1.8%
Utilities	89	0.3%	92	0.3%	3	3.1%
Information	669	2.1%	611	1.9%	-59	-8.8%
Finance and Insurance	2,335	7.3%	2,202	6.8%	-133	-5.7%
Real estate & rental & leasing	278	0.9%	322	1.0%	45	16.1%
Professional, scientific & technical services	1,175	3.7%	1,215	3.8%	41	3.5%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	1,219	3.8%	1,261	3.9%	43	3.5%
Health care & social assistance	3,662	11.5%	3,819	11.8%	156	4.3%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	356	1.1%	321	1.0%	-35	-9.9%
Accommodation & food services	2,465	7.7%	2,505	7.8%	39	1.6%
Other services, except public administration	1,246	3.9%	1,330	4.1%	84	6.7%
Government Ownership	7,954	24.9%	7,967	24.7%	13	0.2%

Table 31

## Windham County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	25,100	7.1%	25,050	7.0%
Unemployment	900	6.9%	850	6.9%
Rate (%)	3.5%	NA	3.4%	NA
Employment	24,250	7.1%	24,150	7.0%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	22,877	100.0%	22,978	100.0%	101	0.4%
Private Ownership	20,061	87.7%	19,985	87.0%	-76	-0.4%
Goods Producing	3,827	16.7%	3,867	16.8%	40	1.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	D	D	D	D	D	D
Mining	D	D	D	D	D	D
Construction	1,033	4.5%	1,103	4.8%	70	6.8%
Manufacturing	2,514	11.0%	2,488	10.8%	-27	-1.1%
Durable Goods	1,674	7.3%	1,717	7.5%	43	2.5%
Non-Durable Goods	840	3.7%	771	3.4%	-69	-8.2%
Service Providing	16,234	71.0%	16,118	70.1%	-116	-0.7%
Wholesale trade	1,617	7.1%	1,586	6.9%	-31	-1.9%
Retail trade	2,817	12.3%	2,809	12.2%	-8	-0.3%
Transportation & warehousing	D	D	D	D	D	D
Utilities	D	D	D	D	D	D
Information	363	1.6%	339	1.5%	-24	-6.7%
Finance and Insurance	630	2.8%	643	2.8%	13	2.0%
Real estate & rental & leasing	285	1.2%	289	1.3%	4	1.5%
Professional, scientific & technical services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	622	2.7%	685	3.0%	63	10.1%
Educational services	1,432	6.3%	1,469	6.4%	38	2.6%
Health care & social assistance	2,502	10.9%	2,539	11.1%	37	1.5%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	159	0.7%	162	0.7%	3	1.9%
Accommodation & food services	3,422	15.0%	3,275	14.3%	-147	-4.3%
Other services, except public administration	679	3.0%	692	3.0%	13	2.0%
Government Ownership	2,815	12.3%	2,992	13.0%	177	6.3%

Table 32

## Windsor County

### Employment by Residence

Resident Labor Force	2004	% State	2005	% State
Labor Force	32,600	9.2%	32,900	9.2%
Unemployment Rate (%)	1,050	8.0%	950	7.7%
Employment	31,550	NA	31,950	NA
		9.3%		9.3%

Note: Residency-based employment is not comparable to industry data.

### Employment by Industry

Industry	Covered Emp. 2003	% of Total	Covered Emp. 2004	% of Total	Change 03-04	%Chng 03-04
Total Covered	23,259	100.0%	23,610	100.0%	351	1.5%
Private Ownership	18,492	79.5%	18,851	79.8%	359	1.9%
Goods Producing	3,921	16.9%	4,077	17.3%	156	4.0%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing & hunting	210	0.9%	202	0.9%	-9	-4.1%
Mining	91	0.4%	54	0.2%	-37	-41.1%
Construction	1,438	6.2%	1,595	6.8%	158	11.0%
Manufacturing	2,182	9.4%	2,226	9.4%	44	2.0%
Durable Goods	1,279	5.5%	1,299	5.5%	21	1.6%
Non-Durable Goods	903	3.9%	927	3.9%	24	2.6%
Service Providing	14,571	62.6%	14,774	62.6%	203	1.4%
Wholesale trade	678	2.9%	694	2.9%	16	2.4%
Retail trade	2,813	12.1%	2,845	12.0%	32	1.1%
Transportation & warehousing	541	2.3%	597	2.5%	56	10.4%
Utilities	86	0.4%	80	0.3%	-6	-7.1%
Information	689	3.0%	626	2.6%	-64	-9.2%
Finance and Insurance	469	2.0%	504	2.1%	35	7.4%
Real estate & rental & leasing	328	1.4%	321	1.4%	-7	-2.1%
Professional, scientific & technical services	1,096	4.7%	1,203	5.1%	107	9.8%
Management of companies & enterprises	D	D	D	D	D	D
Administrative & waste services	D	D	D	D	D	D
Educational services	312	1.3%	314	1.3%	2	0.5%
Health care & social assistance	2,709	11.6%	2,593	11.0%	-117	-4.3%
Arts, entertainment, & recreation	385	1.7%	400	1.7%	15	4.0%
Accommodation & food services	3,080	13.2%	3,156	13.4%	76	2.5%
Other services, except public administration	898	3.9%	882	3.7%	-16	-1.7%
Government Ownership	4,768	20.5%	4,760	20.2%	-8	-0.2%

#### Source - For Tables 18-32

Vermont Department of Labor

Labor Market Information Section

NA Not Available

D denotes data which cannot be disclosed.



Table 33

## Agricultural Comparison of Vermont Counties (1997 - 2002)

County	2002	%	2002	%	2002	%	2002	%	2002
	Farm Acreage	Change From 1997	No. Hired Workers	Change From 1997	Value of Agr. Prod. Sold (000's)	Change From 1997	Dairy Prod. Sold (000's)	Change From 1997	% Dairy of Total Sales 2002
	<sup>2/</sup>		<sup>1/</sup>		<sup>2/</sup>		<sup>2/</sup>		
Vermont	1,244,909	-1.4%	7,631	14.4%	\$473,065	0.7%	\$342,440	2.9%	72.4%
Addison	193,376	-5.7%	1,066	34.3%	\$105,923	6.0%	\$80,589	2.2%	76.1%
Bennington	41,126	27.0%	241	20.5%	\$7,818	3.3%	\$4,466	6.0%	57.1%
Caledonia	84,318	-10.5%	291	53.1%	\$23,789	19.0%	\$17,485	25.3%	73.5%
Chittenden	76,679	-8.0%	693	3.3%	\$28,109	-10.0%	\$15,439	1.0%	54.9%
Essex	19,838	-22.2%	112	0.0%	\$6,790	-0.3%	\$5,483	-5.8%	80.8%
Franklin	190,115	-0.1%	918	35.7%	\$115,435	-15.9%	\$90,718	-7.1%	78.6%
Grand Isle	16,289	-22.6%	250	-34.4%	\$9,236	3.8%	\$6,696	8.1%	72.5%
Lamoille	53,820	9.9%	193	29.0%	\$13,732	11.2%	\$8,309	28.3%	60.5%
Orange	110,415	12.3%	998	-59.4%	\$32,008	-22.7%	\$22,509	-18.1%	70.3%
Orleans	132,240	-8.3%	760	7.4%	\$57,340	3.7%	\$48,736	4.0%	85.0%
Rutland	121,203	-3.6%	354	29.6%	\$23,987	15.4%	\$16,071	23.3%	67.0%
Washington	53,942	-4.2%	336	17.8%	\$14,739	2.0%	\$9,205	11.4%	62.5%
Windham	61,596	31.6%	606	16.3%	\$18,321	10.0%	\$9,227	2.2%	50.4%
Windsor	89,952	-0.1%	813	-43.1%	\$15,838	20.1%	\$7,506	-5.7%	47.4%

### Source

U. S. Department of Agriculture; National Agricultural Statistics Service



<sup>1/</sup> Data is based on a sample of farms.  
[http://www.nass.usda.gov/Census\\_of\\_Agriculture](http://www.nass.usda.gov/Census_of_Agriculture)

<sup>2/</sup> [http://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics\\_by\\_State/New\\_England/](http://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/New_England/)

**Table 34**

# Forest Industry Comparison of Vermont Counties

County	2003 Harvest <sup>1</sup>				2004 Harvest <sup>1</sup>				2004 No. of Sawmills <sup>2/</sup>
	Hardwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Softwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Hardwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	Softwood (board feet) (Thousands)	% of State	
Vermont	116,812	100.0%	107,895	100.0%	112,301	100.0%	126,429	100.0%	169
Addison	5,880	5.0%	3,918	3.6%	6,122	5.5%	2,976	2.4%	15
Bennington	8,401	7.2%	2,018	1.9%	7,553	6.7%	2,589	2.0%	6
Caledonia	11,370	9.7%	14,712	13.6%	8,227	7.3%	20,780	16.4%	18
Chittenden	1,933	1.7%	2,299	2.1%	1,307	1.2%	2,716	2.1%	5
Essex	2,610	2.2%	9,599	8.9%	1,599	1.4%	13,714	10.8%	3
Franklin/G I	6,638	5.7%	9,303	8.6%	6,000	5.3%	6,058	4.8%	6
Lamoille	12,675	10.9%	4,734	4.4%	11,724	10.4%	6,440	5.1%	9
Orange	7,898	6.8%	9,936	9.2%	6,572	5.9%	10,425	8.2%	11
Orleans	8,669	7.4%	10,454	9.7%	8,396	7.5%	16,433	13.0%	18
Rutland	12,733	10.9%	9,172	8.5%	14,472	12.9%	9,043	7.2%	16
Washington	5,957	5.1%	6,952	6.4%	7,057	6.3%	6,606	5.2%	10
Windham	13,918	11.9%	8,243	7.6%	13,656	12.2%	10,254	8.1%	22
Windsor	18,130	15.5%	16,555	15.3%	19,616	17.5%	18,395	14.5%	28





**Source**

Vermont Department of Forest, Parks & Recreation  
<sup>1/</sup> Harvest volumes do not include firewood volumes.  
<sup>2/</sup> Includes both dormant and active.  
 Franklin and Grand Isle Counties are combined in harvest reporting.

Table 35

# Tax Revenues of Vermont Counties

County	Personal Income Tax Amount CY 2004	% of State	Sales & Use Tax Amount FY 2005	% of State	Rooms & Meals Tax Amount FY 2005	% of State	Property Transfer Tax Amount CY 2005	% of State
Vermont	\$423,936,926	100.0%	\$307,415,563	100.0%	\$107,867,604	100.0%	\$46,017,995	100.0%
Addison	\$21,973,826	5.2%	\$8,263,047	2.7%	\$3,908,884	3.6%	\$1,673,530	3.6%
Bennington	\$24,874,761	5.9%	\$19,226,538	6.3%	\$8,162,141	7.6%	\$3,784,079	8.2%
Caledonia	\$13,646,161	3.2%	\$7,815,366	2.5%	\$2,881,414	2.7%	\$1,549,915	3.4%
Chittenden	\$143,852,778	33.9%	\$91,698,688	29.8%	\$27,981,710	25.9%	\$12,473,346	27.1%
Essex/Orleans	\$12,822,392	3.0%	\$7,985,315	2.6%	\$2,985,535	2.8%	\$1,634,055	3.6%
Franklin/G I	\$30,998,627	7.3%	\$12,719,914	4.1%	\$3,812,822	3.5%	\$2,745,891	6.0%
Lamoille	\$17,401,626	4.1%	\$10,697,573	3.5%	\$8,738,554	8.1%	\$3,015,805	6.6%
Orange	\$15,255,789	3.6%	\$4,681,279	1.5%	\$1,751,483	1.6%	\$1,130,632	2.5%
Rutland	\$37,361,111	8.8%	\$32,558,315	10.6%	\$12,691,416	11.8%	\$3,451,469	7.5%
Washington	\$38,891,365	9.2%	\$21,081,427	6.9%	\$8,329,183	7.7%	\$2,900,473	6.3%
Windham	\$25,356,859	6.0%	\$16,328,733	5.3%	\$10,459,356	9.7%	\$5,917,427	12.9%
Windsor	\$41,091,801	9.7%	\$16,626,465	5.4%	\$10,887,990	10.1%	\$5,741,374	12.5%
Other <sup>1/</sup>	\$409,831	0.1%	\$57,732,902	18.8%	\$5,277,116	4.9%	NA	NA



## Source

Vermont Department of Taxes





CY = Calendar Year    FY = Fiscal Year    NA = not applicable

<sup>1/</sup> Other = suppressed data or not available by county

**Table 36**

# Home Sales for Vermont Counties

County	Year Round Home Sales				Vacation Home Sales			
	2000		2005		2000		2005	
	# Units	Median Price	# Units	Median Price	# Units	Median Price	# Units	Median Price
Vermont	8,306	119,000	9,135	185,000	2,167	126,500	1,897	225,000
Addison	439	121,000	434	196,250	53	140,000	72	190,450
Bennington	431	119,000	495	170,000	231	207,500	222	325,000
Caledonia	290	79,000	378	125,500	56	70,500	81	140,000
Chittenden	2,749	145,000	3,017	230,000	58	107,000	58	276,524
Essex	60	70,817	70	87,000	40	64,000	57	130,000
Franklin	634	113,650	720	175,000	67	63,000	66	139,250
Grand Isle	106	122,500	96	198,750	61	112,500	49	223,200
Lamoille	320	119,250	289	192,000	140	122,750	97	348,000
Orange	336	96,250	387	155,000	51	98,000	70	130,000
Orleans	287	75,000	370	115,000	111	80,600	118	156,000
Rutland	749	97,500	768	145,750	281	110,250	307	212,000
Washington	714	95,000	778	164,000	127	95,500	94	222,000
Windham	466	110,000	502	180,000	496	130,750	339	215,000
Windsor	725	111,000	831	177,000	395	173,000	267	269,000



**Source**  
Vermont Housing Finance Agency (VHFA)

Table 37

## Education Comparison:

<b>Vermont Schools by County</b>				
<b>High Schools (FY 2005)</b>	<b>Enrollment Grades 9-12 <sup>1/</sup></b>	<b>Drop-Out Rate (3 Year Avg)</b>	<b>Student Teacher Ratio</b>	<b>Completion Rate</b>
<b>Vermont</b>				
All High Schools	32,174	2.8%	11.6	87%
<b>Addison County</b>				
Middlebury	738	2.1%	13.0	93%
Mt. Abraham	629	1.1%	11.4	95%
Vergennes	465	3.1%	13.9	91%
<b>Bennington County</b>				
Arlington	160	2.0%	10.0	98%
Burr & Burton	610	0.3%	13.0	99%
Mt. Anthony	1,193	2.9%	17.2	88%
<b>Caledonia County</b>				
Danville School	146	2.7%	9.2	82%
Hazen	267	3.2%	9.0	83%
Lyndon Institute	592	2.0%	11.4	86%
St. Johnsbury Academy	961	0.0%	12.0	96%
<b>Chittenden County</b>				
Burlington	1,162	4.0%	14.5	84%
Champlain Valley	1,349	2.3%	15.0	95%
Colchester	772	0.8%	14.7	97%
Essex	1,600	2.0%	14.5	91%
Milton	548	2.8%	12.6	87%
Mt. Mansfield	1,071	1.5%	17.6	94%
South Burlington	968	2.8%	13.7	91%
Winooski	211	9.7%	7.3	85%
<b>Essex County</b>				
Canaan	119	4.3%	12.8	89%
Concord	86	4.7%	10.5	70%
<b>Franklin County</b>				
Bellows Free (Fairfax)	359	5.1%	12.6	88%
Bellows Free Academy	1,207	4.1%	12.4	85%
Enosburg	347	6.2%	11.5	79%
Mississquoi Valley	688	3.7%	13.6	83%
Richford	183	3.6%	10.7	88%
<b>Lamoille County</b>				
Lamoille	601	2.8%	13.0	83%
Peoples Academy	396	2.9%	14.2	85%
Stowe	220	0.5%	13.1	93%

Table 37 - continued

## Education Comparison:

<b>Vermont Schools by County</b>				
<b>High Schools (FY 2005)</b>	<b>Enrollment Grades 9-12 <sup>1/</sup></b>	<b>Drop-Out Rate (3 Year Avg)</b>	<b>Student Teacher Ratio</b>	<b>Completion Rate</b>
<b>Orange County</b>				
Blue Mountain	135	6.5%	10.5	82%
Chelsea	126	4.1%	9.7	91%
Oxbow	352	4.2%	12.2	87%
Randolph	397	2.9%	12.5	86%
Thetford Academy	293	2.3%	11.0	89%
Williamstown	187	4.1%	12.9	96%
<b>Orleans County</b>				
Craftsbury School	68	5.4%	9.9	93%
Lake Region	384	4.2%	12.1	79%
North Country	1,038	4.5%	11.8	80%
<b>Rutland County</b>				
Fair Haven	594	4.3%	12.3	85%
Mill River	499	2.4%	12.2	87%
Otter Valley	470	5.2%	12.5	81%
Poultney	198	3.2%	10.2	80%
Proctor	116	1.1%	8.0	93%
Rutland	1,134	3.8%	15.7	87%
West Rutland	128	2.6%	10.8	91%
<b>Washington County</b>				
Cabot School	82	0.5%	7.5	91%
Harwood	660	0.1%	12.5	99%
Montpelier	418	2.9%	10.7	84%
Northfield	265	4.7%	11.8	84%
Spaulding	943	3.5%	12.3	92%
Twinfield	165	2.4%	10.9	88%
U-32	587	3.1%	12.7	90%
<b>Windham County</b>				
Bellows Falls	404	6.1%	8.5	73%
Brattleboro	1,052	4.7%	14.8	91%
Leland & Gray	299	3.5%	10.7	85%
Twin Valley	272	3.0%	10.2	78%
<b>Windsor County</b>				
Black River	168	3.9%	10.0	0%
Green Mountain	301	2.9%	11.9	83%
Hartford	823	2.4%	13.5	89%
Rochester	110	5.7%	12.0	86%
South Royalton	200	4.4%	11.6	78%
Springfield	572	4.8%	13.4	89%
Whitcomb	123	1.7%	9.1	83%
Windsor	306	5.0%	9.6	94%
Woodstock	422	2.5%	9.7	60%

### Source - For Table 37

Vermont Department of Education.

<sup>1/</sup> Based on enrollment at the beginning of the school year adjusted for transfers and death.

NA Not Available

See EDUCATION in the Glossary for further details.

Table 38

# Education Assessment:

		Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)					
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<b>Addison County</b>							
Middlebury Sr. UHSD #3	Math Concepts	170	2	18	36	34	10
Middlebury Sr. UHSD #3	Math Problem Solving	170	15	31	8	42	5
Middlebury Sr. UHSD #3	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	173	0	16	32	50	2
Middlebury Sr. UHSD #3	Writing Conventions	173	0	8	17	53	22
Mount Abraham UHSD #28	Math Concepts	148	3	15	29	39	15
Mount Abraham UHSD #28	Math Problem Solving	148	8	27	10	40	15
Mount Abraham UHSD #28	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	155	0	19	36	44	1
Mount Abraham UHSD #28	Writing Conventions	155	0	6	17	52	25
Vergennes UHSD #5	Math Concepts	104	4	19	26	43	8
Vergennes UHSD #5	Math Problem Solving	104	17	20	9	45	9
Vergennes UHSD #5	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	105	0	17	36	47	0
Vergennes UHSD #5	Writing Conventions	105	0	8	19	51	22
<b>Bennington County</b>							
Arlington Memorial	Math Concepts	41	5	12	32	44	7
Arlington Memorial	Math Problem Solving	41	10	17	12	54	7
Arlington Memorial	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	41	0	10	46	44	0
Arlington Memorial	Writing Conventions	41	0	5	10	78	7
Burr & Burton Academy	Math Concepts	149	1	13	28	48	10
Burr & Burton Academy	Math Problem Solving	149	5	23	10	52	9
Burr & Burton Academy	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	149	0	5	30	59	7
Burr & Burton Academy	Writing Conventions	149	0	3	11	52	34
Mt. Anthony Sr. UHSD #14	Math Concepts	271	6	26	34	26	8
Mt. Anthony Sr. UHSD #14	Math Problem Solving	271	14	39	7	36	4
Mt. Anthony Sr. UHSD #14	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	271	0	19	38	43	1
Mt. Anthony Sr. UHSD #14	Writing Conventions	271	0	8	18	54	20
<b>Caledonia County</b>							
Danville School	Math Concepts	36	0	17	8	56	19
Danville School	Math Problem Solving	36	8	8	0	50	33
Danville School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	36	0	25	36	39	0
Danville School	Writing Conventions	36	0	14	19	56	11
Hazen UHSD #26	Math Concepts	72	0	26	44	25	4
Hazen UHSD #26	Math Problem Solving	72	7	42	4	46	1
Hazen UHSD #26	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	72	0	15	43	42	0
Hazen UHSD #26	Writing Conventions	72	0	11	22	40	26
Lyndon Institute	Math Concepts	131	4	31	39	25	1
Lyndon Institute	Math Problem Solving	131	25	47	8	21	0
Lyndon Institute	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	135	1	24	41	33	0
Lyndon Institute	Writing Conventions	135	0	10	23	57	10
St. Johnsbury Academy	Math Concepts	164	2	26	26	30	15
St. Johnsbury Academy	Math Problem Solving	164	12	38	5	35	9
St. Johnsbury Academy	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	170	0	12	39	48	1
St. Johnsbury Academy	Writing Conventions	170	0	5	16	55	24
<b>Chittenden County</b>							
Burlington Senior High Sch	Math Concepts	264	7	28	29	24	12
Burlington Senior High Sch	Math Problem Solving	264	18	37	6	33	6
Burlington Senior High Sch	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	264	3	17	42	38	0
Burlington Senior High Sch	Writing Conventions	264	0	10	19	47	25

Table 38 - continued

# Education Assessment

## Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)

School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<i>Chittenden County (Continued)</i>							
Champlain Valley UHSD #15	Math Concepts	311	1	13	24	39	23
Champlain Valley UHSD #15	Math Problem Solving	311	12	19	3	50	16
Champlain Valley UHSD #15	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	314	0	8	29	57	5
Champlain Valley UHSD #15	Writing Conventions	314	0	4	10	47	39
Colchester High School	Math Concepts	168	2	15	33	37	13
Colchester High School	Math Problem Solving	168	8	26	12	47	7
Colchester High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	165	0	5	37	56	2
Colchester High School	Writing Conventions	165	0	2	12	58	28
Essex Comm. Ed. Ctr. UHSD #46	Math Concepts	373	1	14	24	41	19
Essex Comm. Ed. Ctr. UHSD #46	Math Problem Solving	373	10	26	7	44	13
Essex Comm. Ed. Ctr. UHSD #46	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	376	0	9	30	57	4
Essex Comm. Ed. Ctr. UHSD #46	Writing Conventions	376	0	2	9	50	38
Milton Sr High School	Math Concepts	132	1	20	30	42	8
Milton Sr High School	Math Problem Solving	132	8	33	7	42	10
Milton Sr High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	133	0	13	49	38	0
Milton Sr High School	Writing Conventions	133	0	8	19	59	15
Mt. Mansfield USD #17	Math Concepts	242	0	13	22	45	19
Mt. Mansfield USD #17	Math Problem Solving	242	6	19	5	53	18
Mt. Mansfield USD #17	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	242	0	7	31	60	2
Mt. Mansfield USD #17	Writing Conventions	242	0	5	10	54	32
So. Burlington High School	Math Concepts	246	2	13	26	32	26
So. Burlington High School	Math Problem Solving	246	8	29	6	35	23
So. Burlington High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	244	1	12	31	52	4
So. Burlington High School	Writing Conventions	244	0	7	11	53	29
Winooski High School	Math Concepts	39	18	18	33	26	5
Winooski High School	Math Problem Solving	39	26	41	10	18	5
Winooski High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	39	5	18	38	36	3
Winooski High School	Writing Conventions	39	0	18	23	38	21
<i>Essex County</i>							
Canaan Schools	Math Concepts	26	4	31	31	23	12
Canaan Schools	Math Problem Solving	26	27	42	4	15	12
Canaan Schools	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	27	0	26	33	41	0
Canaan Schools	Writing Conventions	27	0	0	15	63	22
Concord School	Math Concepts	18	0	0	50	39	11
Concord School	Math Problem Solving	18	11	39	11	33	6
Concord School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	19	0	11	63	26	0
Concord School	Writing Conventions	19	0	16	26	42	16
<i>Franklin County</i>							
BFA High School-Fairfax	Math Concepts	86	8	23	16	44	8
BFA High School-Fairfax	Math Problem Solving	86	17	26	5	47	6
BFA High School-Fairfax	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	86	0	22	40	36	2
BFA High School-Fairfax	Writing Conventions	86	0	10	20	52	17
Bellows Free Academy-St.Albans	Math Concepts	276	4	30	30	30	5
Bellows Free Academy-St.Albans	Math Problem Solving	276	25	37	7	28	3
Bellows Free Academy-St.Albans	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	289	0	19	40	39	1
Bellows Free Academy-St.Albans	Writing Conventions	289	0	11	18	55	16



Table 38 - continued

# Education Assessment:

		Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)					
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<i>Franklin County (Continued)</i>							
Enosburg Falls Jr/Sr High Sch	Math Concepts	87	3	36	33	28	0
Enosburg Falls Jr/Sr High Sch	Math Problem Solving	87	16	46	6	31	1
Enosburg Falls Jr/Sr High Sch	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	89	0	24	48	28	0
Enosburg Falls Jr/Sr High Sch	Writing Conventions	89	0	12	25	48	15
Missisquoi Valley UHSD #7	Math Concepts	186	7	32	31	25	4
Missisquoi Valley UHSD #7	Math Problem Solving	186	18	39	10	29	5
Missisquoi Valley UHSD #7	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	188	1	33	39	27	0
Missisquoi Valley UHSD #7	Writing Conventions	188	0	16	18	55	11
Richford Jr/Sr High School	Math Concepts	46	2	39	24	30	4
Richford Jr/Sr High School	Math Problem Solving	46	13	41	9	33	4
Richford Jr/Sr High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	45	0	27	36	38	0
Richford Jr/Sr High School	Writing Conventions	45	0	18	9	53	20
<i>Lamoille County</i>							
Lamoille UHSD #18	Math Concepts	121	1	25	31	35	9
Lamoille UHSD #18	Math Problem Solving	121	8	32	7	40	12
Lamoille UHSD #18	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	120	1	20	32	45	2
Lamoille UHSD #18	Writing Conventions	120	0	7	12	62	20
Peoples Academy	Math Concepts	103	4	18	31	29	17
Peoples Academy	Math Problem Solving	103	13	34	7	34	13
Peoples Academy	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	99	1	13	39	45	1
Peoples Academy	Writing Conventions	99	0	7	15	51	27
Stowe Middle/High School	Math Concepts	49	2	14	47	27	10
Stowe Middle/High School	Math Problem Solving	49	6	37	6	43	8
Stowe Middle/High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	48	0	6	40	54	0
Stowe Middle/High School	Writing Conventions	48	0	2	12	58	27
<i>Orange County</i>							
Blue Mountain USD #21	Math Concepts	37	8	38	32	16	5
Blue Mountain USD #21	Math Problem Solving	37	30	38	5	24	3
Blue Mountain USD #21	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	38	0	37	42	18	3
Blue Mountain USD #21	Writing Conventions	38	0	11	34	39	16
Chelsea Elem. High School	Math Concepts	27	0	19	33	30	19
Chelsea Elem. High School	Math Problem Solving	27	15	33	7	44	0
Chelsea Elem. High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	27	0	22	48	30	0
Chelsea Elem. High School	Writing Conventions	27	0	15	26	41	19
Oxbow UHSD #30	Math Concepts	76	3	34	22	36	5
Oxbow UHSD #30	Math Problem Solving	76	20	34	4	36	7
Oxbow UHSD #30	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	76	1	32	41	26	0
Oxbow UHSD #30	Writing Conventions	76	0	9	28	46	17
Randolph UHSD #2	Math Concepts	76	0	7	28	53	13
Randolph UHSD #2	Math Problem Solving	76	5	24	7	57	8
Randolph UHSD #2	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	82	1	12	40	45	1
Randolph UHSD #2	Writing Conventions	82	0	5	16	52	27
Thetford Academy	Math Concepts	76	8	20	34	37	1
Thetford Academy	Math Problem Solving	76	18	45	9	25	3
Thetford Academy	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	76	4	22	26	47	0
Thetford Academy	Writing Conventions	76	0	11	20	54	16

Table 38 - continued

# Education Assessment

		Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)					
School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<i>Orange County (Continued)</i>							
Williamstown Middle/High Sch	Math Concepts	33	0	12	42	36	9
Williamstown Middle/High Sch	Math Problem Solving	33	6	36	6	45	6
Williamstown Middle/High Sch	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	37	3	14	46	38	0
Williamstown Middle/High Sch	Writing Conventions	37	0	8	19	57	16
<i>Orleans County</i>							
Craftsbury Schools	Math Concepts	14	0	0	21	57	21
Craftsbury Schools	Math Problem Solving	14	0	14	14	50	21
Craftsbury Schools	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	14	0	21	21	50	7
Craftsbury Schools	Writing Conventions	14	0	14	7	50	29
Lake Region UHSD #24	Math Concepts	100	5	39	26	22	8
Lake Region UHSD #24	Math Problem Solving	100	20	41	7	29	3
Lake Region UHSD #24	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	100	0	22	37	41	0
Lake Region UHSD #24	Writing Conventions	100	0	7	20	56	17
North Country Sr UHSD #22	Math Concepts	227	2	27	35	29	7
North Country Sr UHSD #22	Math Problem Solving	227	8	37	11	40	4
North Country Sr UHSD #22	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	229	0	15	43	40	1
North Country Sr UHSD #22	Writing Conventions	229	0	6	21	56	17
<i>Rutland County</i>							
Fair Haven UHSD #16	Math Concepts	127	4	25	39	26	6
Fair Haven UHSD #16	Math Problem Solving	127	13	42	10	31	4
Fair Haven UHSD #16	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	126	1	13	44	42	0
Fair Haven UHSD #16	Writing Conventions	126	0	6	17	58	19
Mill River USD #40	Math Concepts	123	4	24	34	30	8
Mill River USD #40	Math Problem Solving	123	19	33	10	33	6
Mill River USD #40	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	124	1	20	37	40	2
Mill River USD #40	Writing Conventions	124	0	8	25	48	19
Otter Valley UHSD #8	Math Concepts	101	5	39	35	17	5
Otter Valley UHSD #8	Math Problem Solving	101	18	50	6	25	2
Otter Valley UHSD #8	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	102	0	19	48	32	1
Otter Valley UHSD #8	Writing Conventions	102	0	7	23	58	13
Poultney High School	Math Concepts	45	7	27	27	36	4
Poultney High School	Math Problem Solving	45	18	31	9	36	7
Poultney High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	47	0	19	43	38	0
Poultney High School	Writing Conventions	47	0	15	17	47	21
Proctor Jr/Sr High School	Math Concepts	26	12	15	42	27	4
Proctor Jr/Sr High School	Math Problem Solving	26	8	27	19	46	0
Proctor Jr/Sr High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	27	0	33	37	30	0
Proctor Jr/Sr High School	Writing Conventions	27	0	7	26	33	33
Rutland Senior High School	Math Concepts	265	4	28	29	30	9
Rutland Senior High School	Math Problem Solving	265	14	31	9	39	7
Rutland Senior High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	264	0	17	37	45	1
Rutland Senior High School	Writing Conventions	264	0	8	12	55	25
West Rutland School	Math Concepts	27	7	30	52	11	0
West Rutland School	Math Problem Solving	27	15	67	15	4	0
West Rutland School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	28	0	21	57	21	0
West Rutland School	Writing Conventions	28	0	21	29	43	7

Table 38 - continued

# Education Assessment

## Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)

School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<i>Washington County</i>							
Cabot School	Math Concepts	27	7	4	22	52	15
Cabot School	Math Problem Solving	27	11	30	4	41	15
Cabot School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	28	0	7	46	46	0
Cabot School	Writing Conventions	28	0	7	21	57	14
Harwood UHSD #19	Math Concepts	157	6	11	21	43	18
Harwood UHSD #19	Math Problem Solving	157	11	27	4	46	11
Harwood UHSD #19	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	156	1	15	29	53	2
Harwood UHSD #19	Writing Conventions	156	0	8	13	48	30
Montpelier High School	Math Concepts	97	3	16	19	45	16
Montpelier High School	Math Problem Solving	97	12	18	9	48	12
Montpelier High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	99	0	14	32	51	3
Montpelier High School	Writing Conventions	99	0	4	16	49	30
Northfield Middle/High School	Math Concepts	60	7	15	28	35	15
Northfield Middle/High School	Math Problem Solving	60	17	30	10	38	5
Northfield Middle/High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	60	2	23	33	38	3
Northfield Middle/High School	Writing Conventions	60	0	7	22	42	30
Spaulding HSUD #41	Math Concepts	204	1	17	28	40	14
Spaulding HSUD #41	Math Problem Solving	204	11	26	11	44	8
Spaulding HSUD #41	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	206	0	13	42	43	2
Spaulding HSUD #41	Writing Conventions	206	0	4	9	62	24
Twinfield USD #33	Math Concepts	29	7	24	21	41	7
Twinfield USD #33	Math Problem Solving	29	28	14	10	45	3
Twinfield USD #33	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	29	0	21	31	48	0
Twinfield USD #33	Writing Conventions	29	0	14	17	48	21
U-32 High School (UHSD #32)	Math Concepts	152	1	15	19	45	20
U-32 High School (UHSD #32)	Math Problem Solving	152	13	17	10	49	11
U-32 High School (UHSD #32)	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	152	0	10	37	51	2
U-32 High School (UHSD #32)	Writing Conventions	152	0	8	13	47	32
<i>Windham County</i>							
Bellows Falls UHSD #27	Math Concepts	76	5	32	25	29	9
Bellows Falls UHSD #27	Math Problem Solving	76	25	32	8	32	4
Bellows Falls UHSD #27	Reading Basic Understanding	75	0	17	29	48	5
Bellows Falls UHSD #27	Writing Conventions	75	0	8	23	53	16
Brattleboro Sr. UHSD #6	Math Concepts	202	4	17	35	31	12
Brattleboro Sr. UHSD #6	Math Problem Solving	202	13	31	9	40	7
Brattleboro Sr. UHSD #6	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	202	0	12	39	46	2
Brattleboro Sr. UHSD #6	Writing Conventions	202	0	4	15	54	26
Leland & Gray UHSD #34	Math Concepts	53	2	17	38	32	11
Leland & Gray UHSD #34	Math Problem Solving	53	15	26	15	34	9
Leland & Gray UHSD #34	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	54	0	17	46	35	2
Leland & Gray UHSD #34	Writing Conventions	54	0	11	20	50	19

Table 38 - continued

# Education Assessment

## Vermont Schools by County (FY 2005)

School Name	Assessment Area	Number of Valid Tests	Percent at each Performance Level				
			Little Evidence of Achievement	Below the Standard	Nearly Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard	Achieved the Standard w/ Honors
<i>Windsor County</i>							
Black River USD #39	Math Concepts	35	0	31	34	34	0
Black River USD #39	Math Problem Solving	35	6	49	3	34	9
Black River USD #39	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	35	0	20	57	23	0
Black River USD #39	Writing Conventions	35	0	0	23	60	17
Green Mountain UHSD #35	Math Concepts	81	5	17	26	37	15
Green Mountain UHSD #35	Math Problem Solving	81	15	26	9	42	9
Green Mountain UHSD #35	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	84	1	17	39	43	0
Green Mountain UHSD #35	Writing Conventions	84	0	12	17	51	20
Hartford High School	Math Concepts	179	3	25	33	34	6
Hartford High School	Math Problem Solving	179	10	39	8	39	5
Hartford High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	178	0	17	41	40	2
Hartford High School	Writing Conventions	178	0	7	20	49	23
Rochester Elem/High School	Math Concepts	27	7	15	52	15	11
Rochester Elem/High School	Math Problem Solving	27	11	37	7	37	7
Rochester Elem/High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	27	0	0	56	41	4
Rochester Elem/High School	Writing Conventions	27	0	0	15	59	26
So. Royalton Elem/High School	Math Concepts	42	7	14	38	24	17
So. Royalton Elem/High School	Math Problem Solving	42	7	29	14	40	10
So. Royalton Elem/High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	41	0	17	34	49	0
So. Royalton Elem/High School	Writing Conventions	41	0	5	7	68	20
Springfield High School	Math Concepts	125	6	32	30	29	3
Springfield High School	Math Problem Solving	125	18	42	10	27	3
Springfield High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	126	0	19	48	33	0
Springfield High School	Writing Conventions	126	0	7	16	61	16
Whitcomb Jr/Sr High School	Math Concepts	24	0	25	29	46	0
Whitcomb Jr/Sr High School	Math Problem Solving	24	21	29	4	46	0
Whitcomb Jr/Sr High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	24	0	12	50	38	0
Whitcomb Jr/Sr High School	Writing Conventions	24	0	12	21	62	4
Windsor High School	Math Concepts	80	5	35	29	29	2
Windsor High School	Math Problem Solving	80	28	41	6	25	0
Windsor High School	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	82	0	18	52	29	0
Windsor High School	Writing Conventions	82	0	4	13	66	17
Woodstock Sr. UHSD #4	Math Concepts	98	2	11	31	45	11
Woodstock Sr. UHSD #4	Math Problem Solving	98	6	24	7	57	5
Woodstock Sr. UHSD #4	Reading Analysis/Interpretation	99	0	6	37	56	1
Woodstock Sr. UHSD #4	Writing Conventions	99	0	3	15	53	29

### Source - For Table 38

VT Department of Education - NOTE: Assessments are grade 10.  
See the DOE web site for other grades and additional information at  
[www.state.vt.us/educ/index.htm](http://www.state.vt.us/educ/index.htm)

# GLOSSARY

**ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME (AGI)** is the value an individual reports on their state income tax. The Vermont Department of Taxes provides the data reported in this publication.

\* As noted by the Tax Department: This data is provided for historical continuity with previous tax reports. Median AGI for all tax returns is an inappropriate measure of central tendency because it is so dependent on the mix of single and dual incomes within a town.

Determination of median AGI for all tax returns by town was required by VSA Title 16, Section 3480 in 1981 to assist in computation of aid to education. In 1987 this statute section was amended to specify determination of average AGI per exemption. The entire section was repealed in 1997 as part of Act 60.

**AGE COHORT** is an age grouping that is an aggregate of sequential years of age. For example, one group might be all persons between the ages 5-14.

**ANNUAL AVERAGE WAGE** is the average wage paid by all firms covered under Vermont's unemployment compensation law. The average wage is computed by dividing the total wages paid during the year by the average number of employees across all occupations.

**COVERED EMPLOYMENT** in this publication includes the employment of both public and private employers covered by the unemployment compensation law. The data is gathered from reports submitted by employers each quarter and is aggregated into industries as defined by the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The major groups of employers excluded from coverage are most agricultural production firms and the self-employed.

## EDUCATION

**ASSESSMENTS:** Established by the State Board of Education in 1996, the Vermont Comprehensive Assessment System (CAS) evaluates student performance in the state's schools, based on Vermont's Framework of Standards and Learning Opportunities, with the goal of improving teaching and learning.

**COMPLETION RATE** (longitudinal) is calculated by dividing the total number of graduates in a particular class by the cumulative number of dropouts over the four-year period when the specified class would have attended high school. This rate attempts to estimate the graduation rate for a single class of students when actual cohort data are unavailable. The statewide longitudinal completion rate for the class of 2002 is 82%. This completion rate has been reported previously from Vermont's Department of Education, but this is the first year it has been included in this report.

\* It is important to note that the longitudinal completion rate has real limitations. It cannot account for students who may dropout and re-enroll in either the same school or another the following year. The rate includes both graduates and dropouts who may not have been part of the original cohort that started in the school in the ninth grade. However, until a statewide student tracking system is in place, the longitudinal completion rate provides the best information available on high school completion.

**ENROLLMENT** is an annual head count as of October 1<sup>st</sup>. The enrollment report is organized by school, grade, ethnicity and gender break down.

**DROP OUT RATE** is the percentage of students who leave high school during the school year and the preceding summer. Enrollment figures used in calculating this rate have been adjusted for transfers and deaths.

# GLOSSARY - continued

**EFFECTIVE HOMESTEAD TAX RATE:** The tax rate levied on residential (homestead) property is adjusted upward if the residents of that school district decide to spend more than the “base education payment.” The base education payment was \$6,800 per pupil for tax year 2004. Here’s an example of how the rate is adjusted.

Say your local school budget provided for spending of \$7,820 per pupil. The homestead tax rate of \$1.05 was adjusted upward before the common level of appraisal adjustment was applied. It will be higher in proportion to the amount your voters decided to spend over \$6,800 per pupil. In this example, the adjustment was:  
 $\$7,820/\$6,800 = 1.15$

**EMPLOYMENT** in this publication is measured by Covered Employment and Labor Force. Covered Employment is based upon where the job is located. Labor Force is based upon where the worker resides. See Covered Employment and Labor Force for further information.

**HOME SALES** are the number of homes sold in Vermont by county. This includes all single family homes, condos and mobile homes sold, with and without land. The home value reflects the median price of homes sold in that county.

**LABOR FORCE** data measures the labor force status of the area’s residents who are 16 years of age or older. The residents may work, look for work, or be unemployed from firms in areas other than where they reside. The estimates include agricultural workers, unpaid family members and the self-employed.

**MEDIAN** is a statistical term. Data is put in numeral order. The median is the middle term. Fifty percent of the data fall above the median and fifty percent fall below the median.

**PER CAPITA INCOME** data for the state and counties is based on estimates from the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce.